```
1
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
 2
 3
    STATE OF NEW JERSEY, et al, CIVIL ACTION NUMBER:
 4
                                   2:23-cv-03885-LMG-LDW
         Plaintiff,
 5
                                   STATUS CONFERENCE
     v.
 6
    UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF Pages 1 - 76
 7
    TRANSPORTATION, et al,
 8
         Defendant.
 9
         Frank Lautenberg Post Office & U.S. Courthouse
         2 Federal Square
10
         Newark, New Jersey 07102
         Thursday, March 21, 2024
11
         Commencing at 10:35 a.m.
12
                        THE HONORABLE LEO M. GORDON
    BEFORE:
                        UNITED STATES COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE
13
14
    APPEARANCES
15
         KING & SPALDING, LLP
         BY: RANDY M. MASTRO, ESQUIRE
16
         BY: LAUREN MYERS, ESQUIRE
         1185 Avenue of the Americas, LLP
17
         New York, New York 10036
         For the Plaintiff
18
         NAGEL RICE, LLP
19
         BY: RANDEE M. MATLOFF, ESQUIRE
         103 Eisenhower Parkway, Suite 201
20
         Roseland, New Jersey 07068
         For the Plaintiffs Consolidated
21
22
                           Francesca DiBella
23
                         Official Court Reporter
                  francesca_dibella@njd.uscourts.gov
2.4
                             (973) 776-3885
     Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript
25
     produced by computer-aided transcription.
```

```
1
    (Continuing)
 2
    APPEARANCES:
 3
         UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
         DOJ-ENRD
 4
         NATURAL RESOURCES SECTION
         BY: GREGORY MARTIN CUMMING, ESQ.
 5
         150 M. Street, N.E.
         Washington, DC 20002
 6
         For the Defendants
 7
         UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
 8
         BY: ALEX D. SILAGI, ESQ.
         970 Broad Street
 9
         Newark, New Jersey 07102
         For the Defendants
10
11
         UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
         DOJ-ENRD
12
         BY: SHARI HOWARD, ESQ.
         150 M. Street, N.E. 4th Floor
13
         Washington D.C., 20002
         For the Defendants
14
15
         SIVE, PAGET & RIESEL, P.C.
         BY: MARK A. CHERTOK, ESQ.
16
         BY: AMY CASSIDY, ESQ.
         560 Lexington Avenue, 15th Floor
17
         New York, New York 10022
         For the Intervenor Defendant
18
         Metropolitan Transportation Authority
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

```
1
    (Continuing)
 2
    APPEARANCES:
 3
 4
         JOHN REICHMAN LAW, LLC
         BY:
              JOHN REICHMAN, ESQ.
 5
         56 Oakwood Avenue
         Montclair, New Jersey 07043
 6
         For Amicus Empower NJ, New Jersey Policy Perspective,
         Health Professionals & Allied Employees, Clean Water
 7
         Action, Turnpike Trap Coalition, Hudson County Complete
         Street, Soma Action, Unitarian Universalist Faith Action
 8
         NJ, Pinelands Preservation Alliance, Bike North Bergen,
         Ecopoetry.org, Don't Gas the Meadowlands, Isles, Inc.,
 9
         Bike JC, SafestreetsJC, 350 NJ-Rockland, Hackensack
         Riverkeeper, Inc., People Over Pipelines, Fund for a
10
         Better Waterfront, Newark Green Team, Action Together
         New Jersey, New Jersey Association of Railroad
11
         Passengers, BlueWaveNJ, Bike Soma, Newark Science and
         Sustainability, Inc., Our Revolution NJ, BII UC, Friends
12
         of Liberty State Park, New Jersey Environmental Lobby,
         New Jersey Work Environment Council, New Jersey citizen
13
         Action, South Ward Environmental Alliance, New Jersey
         Working Family Party, and Bike Hoboken
14
15
         KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL, LLP
              ANDREW OTIS, ESQ.
16
         1177 Avenue of the Americas
         New York, New York 10036
17
         For the Amicus Environmental Defense Fund
                   -and-
18
         ROSENBERG & ESTIS, P.C.
              CYNTHIA SIDERMAN, ESQ.
19
         733 Third Avenue, 14th Floor
         New York, New York 10017
20
         For Amicus Environmental Defense Fund
21
        BERGEN COUNTY - COUNTY COUNSEL OFFICE
22
        BY: DAVID MATEEN ESQ.
        One Bergen County Plaza, 5th Floor
23
        Hackensack, New Jersey 07601
        For the Amicus - County of Bergen
24
25
```

```
1
             (PROCEEDINGS held in open court before The Honorable
 2
    LEO M. GORDON, United States District Court Judge, at 10:34
 3
    a.m.)
 4
             THE COURT: Okay. Good morning, everybody.
 5
             MS. MEYERS: Good morning, your Honor.
 6
             MR. MASTRO: Good morning.
 7
             THE COURT: Welcome. And thank you for being here.
 8
    Okay.
           Sharia, you want to call the case, please.
 9
             THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Sure. This is an in-person
10
    status conference for 23 Civil 03885, State of New Jersey, et
11
    al, v. United States Department of Transportation, et al.
12
             THE COURT: Mr. Mastro, you are here on behalf of the
13
    plaintiff, I see.
14
             MR. MASTRO: Yes, your Honor. With my colleague,
15
    Lauren Meyers.
16
             THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Meyers.
17
             MS. MEYERS: Good morning.
18
             THE COURT: And who might be seated behind you?
19
             MS. MATLOFF: Good morning, Judge. This is Randee
20
    Matloff from Nagel Rice. Mr. Nagel couldn't be here.
21
             THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Matloff.
22
             MS. MATLOFF: I'm here for the Amici.
23
             THE COURT: Well, Mr. Nagel, I miss his bright and
24
    smiling countenance.
25
             MS. MATLOFF: I will let him know that.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: Plaintiff Amici is just -- if I remember
 2
    correctly, Mr. Mateen?
 3
             MR. MATEEN: Yes, your Honor.
 4
             THE COURT: You're here. Would you have a seat back
 5
    behind plaintiff's counsel, please.
 6
             Mr. Cumming?
 7
             MR. CUMMING: Good morning, your Honor.
 8
             THE COURT: Good morning. You are accompanied by?
 9
             MR. CUMMING: Shari Howard and Alex Silagi for the
10
    Government. Thank you.
11
             THE COURT: Good morning.
12
             Mr. Chertok, I see that you're here on behalf of the
1.3
    MTA.
14
             MR. CHERTOK: Good morning, your Honor.
15
    accompanied by Amy Cassidy.
16
             THE COURT: All right. Amy?
17
             MR. CHERTOK: Cassidy. Kind of like --
18
             THE COURT: I do not see your name, so I will add it
19
    to my list.
20
             The traditional spelling, C-a-s-s-i-d-y?
21
             MS. CASSIDY: Yes, your Honor.
22
             THE COURT: Welcome. Welcome to the party.
23
             Okay. And on behalf of the Amici on the defendant's
24
    side, Mr. Reichman.
25
             MR. REICHMAN: Good morning, your Honor.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: Good morning.
 2
             And Mr. Warren?
 3
             MR. OTIS: Mr. Otis actually.
 4
             THE COURT: Mr. Otis, I'm sorry.
 5
             MR. OTIS: Along with my colleague, Cynthia Siderman.
 6
             THE COURT: I don't have you on my list.
 7
             MR. OTIS: No, she's new. Siderman.
 8
             THE COURT: S-i-d-e-r-m-a-n?
 9
             MS. SIDERMAN:
                           That is correct.
10
             MR. OTIS: That's correct.
11
             THE COURT: Okay.
12
             MS. SIDERMAN: Good morning, your Honor.
1.3
             THE COURT: Welcome to the party.
14
             MR. OTIS: Thank you.
15
             MS. SIDERMAN:
                            Thank you.
16
             THE COURT: Mr. Otis, give my regrets to your
17
    colleagues who are hosting a party for the NY PAL dinner
18
    tomorrow night. I am not attending, but I appreciate their
19
    kind invitation to the party that -- the before- or after-
20
    party that they're throwing, but obviously since you all are
21
    appearing before me, even if I was attending, I am not showing
22
    up.
23
             MR. OTIS: I will send your regrets.
24
             THE COURT: Tell them to have a good time at the
25
    party. Anyway, it's -- the patent prom is always a lot of
```

1 fun. 2 MR. OTIS: I will send your regrets. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. 4 All right. So thank you for being here. We're going 5 to try to take care of a whole bunch of administrative things. 6 Candidly, I'm hoping this is not going to take us the full 7 period of time allotted, but part of this depends upon you all 8 and kind of how well prepared you are to address the questions 9 I have how to best proceed two weeks from now at oral 10 argument. 11 First off, I do wish to ask a question. I know that 12 you all have met plus the folks from New York with Judge 1.3 Wettre. I'm not supposed to know the specifics of what's 14 going on in the conversations about settlement and I don't. 15 If somebody would like to give me, perhaps, a status 16 report as to whether things are on track, whether things might 17 be hopeful or not, I'm all ears and willing to listen. If you 18 are uncomfortable talking about it out here, we can recess 19 into my chambers and have a conversation. It can be with 20 everybody or it can be, you know, each side one at a time. 21 There appears to be nobody in the gallery. This is 22 my judicial assistant and my legal intern here. My law clerk 23 down front. So it's nobody but us mice here. 24 MR. MASTRO: Okay. Your Honor, as much as I have not 25 commented to anyone on anything involving that, at your

```
1
    Honor's request, I will simply say that what I understand to
 2
    be the state of play is that the MTA and the State are
 3
    admittedly to get back to Judge Wettre on certain issue and
    then she will speak to us about certain issues. But not --
 4
 5
    that particular day which was an all-day session, there was a
 6
    day --
 7
             THE COURT: Okay. When are you due to --
 8
             MR. MASTRO: -- not anything that I could report on.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay. When are you due to get back to
10
    Judge Wettre and meet again? Do you all know?
11
             MR. CHERTOK: I can respond, your Honor.
12
             THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Chertok.
13
             MR. CHERTOK: We have a call set with Judge Wettre
14
    tomorrow afternoon.
15
             THE COURT: Very good. Anything more that anyone
16
    wishes to add?
17
             MR. CHERTOK: I think at this point that's all that
18
    we can possibly say.
19
             THE COURT: That's perfectly fine.
20
             MR. CHERTOK: Thank you.
21
             THE COURT: All right. I will give you some food for
22
    thought. I recently attended a conference on mesh tort
23
    litigation, MDL litigation and class actions, and I learned an
24
    interesting phrase. And I was there with a bunch of different
25
    folks who I happen to know who -- different judges, mediators,
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
arbitrators, litigators, etc., and they all kind of
universally concluded the same thing and agreed on the
following statement: Judgments are for egos -- or verdicts
are for egos. Settlements feed families.
         So if you've never heard that before, bear that in
mind as you talk to Judge Wettre. You know, maybe that will
be helpful.
         Okay. The first order of business this morning is to
ask whether anybody has any concerns about any of the
revisions that were filed relative to the briefs or the
amended complaint to conform those documents to the culled
concise consolidated administrative record.
         Does anybody have any concerns that anybody exceeded
the bounds of the order, either purposely or inadvertently?
         I have not seen any motion papers. I have not seen
any e-mail communication to Ms. Creegan, but I just want to
verify for the record that, to the best your knowledge, you
are all comfortable with what was resubmitted as revised
documents.
         I'll start with the plaintiff.
         MR. MASTRO: Yes, your Honor.
         THE COURT: You are satisfied?
         MR. MASTRO: Yes, your Honor.
         THE COURT: Okay.
         Plaintiff Amici 1. Ms. Matloff?
```

```
1
             MS. MATLOFF: No, I have no --
 2
             THE COURT: Concerns.
 3
             MS. MATLOFF: -- concerns about it.
 4
             THE COURT: Mr. Mateen?
 5
             MR. MATEEN: No concerns.
 6
             THE COURT: Mr. Cummings, on behalf of the defendant?
 7
             MR. CUMMING: No concerns, your Honor.
 8
             THE COURT: Mr. Chertok?
 9
             MR. CHERTOK: No concerns. And I'm sure if something
10
    comes up we'll be discussing it and resolve it very quickly
11
    because it would have been an inadvertent error in any event
12
    from any of the parties I think.
13
             THE COURT: That's why there's a little pink rubber
14
    thing on top of a wooden stick that sits on top of most
15
    people's desks or in their desk drawers. It's called a
16
    pencil. It's called an eraser. People are human. People
17
    make mistakes. And, you know, as long as somebody owns up to
18
    it, learns from it, we move on.
19
             Defendant Intervenors, any concerns?
20
             MR. OTIS: No issues, your Honor.
21
             MR. REICHMAN: No concerns, your Honor.
22
             THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
23
             You all don't have to stand up and down. We're here
24
    to have a conversation today. I appreciate the respect and
25
    the courtesy shown to the Court but, you know, unless you're
```

1 trying to get your steps in, you know, on your -- on your 2 watch, you don't have to stand and then sit. 3 Okay. Item next. We've been through the culled 4 administrative record and we have a number of questions. 5 hoping to actually get through them in a way that is coherent 6 and concise, but if I have a little problem I'm going to ask 7 my clerk, Mr. Shambon, to help us out. 8 So I'm going to turn your attention to record number 9 It's a document dated 9/15/2021. It is a letter from 10 sponsors asking the Federal Highway Administration for 11 approval of New Conformity Assessments of Dutchess County, 12 etc., and the respective transportation counsels regarding 1.3 p.m. ozone and carbon monoxide. 14 My guess is you obviously don't have the documents 15 Okay. I'm just going to go through these, give you a 16 list and then we'll arrange for a time for a Teams call next 17 week to try to resolve what's going on, but let me go through 18 these and if you have any questions about what we're concerned 19 about, I'll slow down to make sure that you take some notes. 20 And Len, can we put this in a form to send out to the 21 parties? 22 THE LAW CLERK: Yeah. 23 THE COURT: Okay. So we'll follow up at some time

between now and Monday to send you a summary document that

outlines the document number, the date, and the concerns.

24

25

1 So on this document, the document, this letter from 2 sponsors, right, are there no attachments -- this is a 3 response, right, Len? That we're concerned about 319. 4 THE LAW CLERK: This was a response. 5 THE COURT: Yeah. This is a response to the letter, 6 right, and the document apparently makes reference to some 7 attachments, and there's no obvious cc to New Jersey 8 officials, so we're just trying to figure out what's going on 9 here and are there documents that should attend to this record 10 number that inadvertently were not included? I'm sure they 11 are in the large 48,000 page, 1,600 plus document record, but 12 they may not have made it over to the consolidated record. 13 Item number 2 is record number 40053. It's an e-mail 14 from the MTA to the U.S. DOT regarding -- and the EPA 15 regarding a proposed p.m. hot spot methodology for local 16 effects of tolling program attached for a review for the ICG 17 meeting. There's no attachment to the document in the culled 18 administrative record. 19 Record number 4019 -- oh, I'm sorry. That second 20 document was dated 4/18/2022. 21 The next one is 40190, dated July 12, 2022. It's a 22 letter from the Federal Highway Administration to sponsors 23 responding to sponsors June 23, 2022, submission of a revised 24 draft EA. It makes reference to seeing enclosed items that 25 focus on potentially significant impacts and potential

```
1
    mitigation that may be needed.
 2
             The June 23rd incoming letter and the revised EA are
 3
    not in the culled administrative record nor is the Federal
 4
    Highway Administration attachment of further suggestions.
 5
             Okay. Am I going at a good enough pace for
 6
    everybody? Okay.
 7
             Item next. Record number 40227, dated July 19,
 8
    2022 -- no, I'm sorry. Forgive me. Wrong number. Wrong
 9
    number.
10
             Document number 41634, dated August 4, 2022. It is a
11
    meeting summary of the August 4th meeting of Regional
12
    Transportation and NYC agencies, right, it seems to have been
13
    conducted or at least managed by Dr. de Cerrano who opened the
14
    meeting for questions and comments with the agency
15
    representative and there were no questions.
16
             The question to you all, this is a meeting summary.
17
    Is there a certified transcript? Is this the only thing that
18
    exists as the record of this August 4th meeting?
19
             Okay. The following involve a series of transcripts,
20
    but they all deal with the same basic problem. So let me give
21
    you the record numbers.
22
             42828, dated 8/25. It's the transcript of a public
23
    hearing on August 25th.
24
             Record number 41973, a transcript of a public hearing
25
    on August 29th of 2022.
```

1 42393, August 30, 2022, transcript of a public 2 hearing that involved Representative Gottheimer. 3 Record number 43124, August 31, 2022, a transcript of 4 a public hearing on that date that dealt with the New Jersey 5 bus company owner. 6 Document 43145, same date, August 31st, a transcript 7 of a public hearing on that date introducing another New 8 Jersey bus company representative. 9 And lastly, record number 43276, the same date, 10 August 31st, public hearing introducing New Jersey bus 11 facility representative. 12 It appears that the only thing that is in the culled administrative record for each one of those items is the first 13 14 page of the transcript. And so the question is why? 15 the rest of the pages not there, particularly, if they are 16 relevant or important? 17 So these one, two, three, four, five -- six 18 instances, again, could be inadvertence. We just didn't 19 understand why it's page 1 alone. 20 Record number 40514, an e-mail thread following a 21 meeting, meeting number seven. This is dated 1/13/2023, it 22 deals with a proposed mitigation package which apparently 23 is -- neither the e-mail thread -- we're not sure why this is 24 on the list. It may be in the large record, but it's not in 25 the culled record. Is that correct, Len?

1 THE LAW CLERK: It's the attachment that's missing. 2 THE COURT: Okay. We got one or two more here. 3 Document 40683, dated May 8, 2023. It's an illustrative letter from the Federal Highway Administration to 4 5 the New York State Parks and it refers to the fact that the 6 final EA also responds to comments received from the public 7 and agencies on the EA that was published in August of 2022. 8 The document does not appear to contain responses to the 9 comments and so the question is: Aren't they relevant and if 10 not, why not? And if they are relevant, why weren't the 11 responses included along with the letter? 12 Len? 1.3 THE LAW CLERK: So that's one that I think is like 14 20,000 pages long where you summarized 7 or 800 comments and 15 we haven't seen that part of the record to know if there are 16 excerpts in there of comments and responses that are germane 17 to this proceeding. We're not about to take up reading 28,000 18 pages. So if you want to find some on either side, find some 19 on either side. 20 THE COURT: Lastly, record number 45346, May 26, 21 2023, it's an e-mail thread from a Federal Highway 22 Administration, re: The proposed bullet points for the 23 Secretary of Transportation's call with New Jersey's Governor 24 Murphy regarding the impact on New Jersey. And the question 25 is: Is there a written summary that documents this call or is

1 there a transcript? 2 You know, in my area of the law that I spent a lot of 3 time in, were there ex parte conversations? There were 4 requirements of summaries that have to be put in to the 5 administrative record. I'm not quite sure what the 6 requirements are here so we need to be educated. 7 Okay. Any questions, ladies and gentlemen? 8 Okay. Nina, where are we with this? Have we given 9 copies to everybody. 10 THE INTERN: Not yet. You want me to do that now? 11 THE COURT: We have prepared a summary from the easel 12 tear sheets from the last meeting about the time line that 1.3 Mr. Chertok was kind enough to discuss with us at our last 14 meeting. We've reduced it to writing. Please pass it out to 15 everybody. 16 I want to spend a few moments confirming whether 17 those events that were denominated as supposed to have 18 happened have, in fact, happened, and whether the rest of the 19 time line that's here remains accurate, or are there any 20 things that needed to be added, subtracted, or amended? 21 Mr. Chertok, according to what you told us at our 22 last meeting, the TBTA was supposed to have a hearing or 23 hearings late February/early March. The approximate date 24 was -- I think the latest was supposed to be March 4th, and 25 the question is what happened?

```
1
             MR. CHERTOK: The hearings are completed and the
 2
    estimated decision on that --
 3
             THE COURT: One step at a time, please.
 4
             So the hearings have been completed?
 5
             MR. CHERTOK: The hearings are finished.
 6
             THE COURT: Are done.
                                    Okay.
 7
             MR. CHERTOK: And the TBTA Board is considering --
 8
             THE COURT: Right.
 9
             MR. CHERTOK: And there may be a board meeting at the
10
    end of the month.
11
             THE COURT: Okay. So the target date --
12
             MR. CHERTOK: Close to the end of March.
13
             THE COURT: So the target date of March 31 still
14
    appears to be on target.
15
             MR. CHERTOK: Exactly.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. Then, our understanding was that
17
    once that had happened, then the next step was for the Federal
18
    Highway Administration to do its reevaluation which you
19
    indicated could take all of April, perhaps even all of May,
20
    but the time frame for that reevaluation was within that
21
    60-day period.
22
             MR. CHERTOK: That is the anticipation. And as I
23
    indicated, I think at the last conference, we can't be -- we
24
    can't predict what the Federal agency will want in terms of
25
    information, etc., so that's our best estimate at this time.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: Okay. But you obviously can't control
 2
    that because it's not your client. It's Mr. Cumming's client
 3
    who will be in charge of the next step.
 4
             MR. CHERTOK: Exactly.
 5
             THE COURT: Mr. Cumming, Mr. Silagi, Ms. Howard, do
 6
    you wish to enlighten the Court as to what you may know and
 7
    what potentially could occur in that 60-day period that will
 8
    trigger at some point after the TBTA finishes its work
 9
    hopefully towards the end of March.
10
             MR. CUMMING: I don't have anything to add,
11
    unfortunately, your Honor.
12
             THE COURT: Okay.
1.3
             MR. CUMMING: I think that time line is accurate as
14
    far as I know.
15
             THE COURT: So we're looking still at roughly a
16
    60-day window in which for the Federal Highway Administration
17
    to act?
18
             MR. CUMMING: Yes.
19
             THE COURT: Okay. The next step was an agreement
20
    with the sponsors. I'm assuming that occurs as a result of
21
    the Federal Highway Administration action and they communicate
22
    some notice to the sponsors and then that triggers the
23
    beginning of the running of the period in which to look at a
24
    draft agreement and conclude it and get it signed.
25
                           The agreement cannot be concluded until
             MR. CHERTOK:
```

```
1
    after the reevaluation is completed --
 2
             THE COURT: Right.
 3
             MR. CHERTOK: -- and their determination by FHWA that
    no further reviews or evaluations of the impacts of the
 4
 5
    project are required.
 6
             THE COURT: Okay. And since that obviously requires
 7
    the cooperation of multiple sides, right? Again, Mr. Cumming,
 8
    assuming the reevaluation gets done late April, early May, mid
 9
    May, there's roughly a 30-day window or so in order to get the
10
    agreement with the sponsors?
11
             MR. CUMMING: Yes, your Honor. And I think the late
12
    May target date on here remains accurate.
13
             THE COURT: But if the FHWA doesn't finish until
14
    mid -- middish May, the likelihood is it's got to spill over
15
    into mid-June in terms of getting everything done.
16
             MR. CUMMING: I would think so, your Honor, but I
17
    don't know beyond speculating.
18
             THE COURT: Okay. And so, Mr. Chertok, assuming late
19
    May is a good estimate on the agreement with the sponsors,
20
    we're still targeting somewhere in and around June 15th for
21
    flipping the switch?
22
             MR. CHERTOK: That's correct. I think that was the
23
    earliest date that was anticipated at the last conference and
24
    that remains the same.
25
             THE COURT: I am going to ask you to think about
```

DINGS 20

something, and you don't have to give me an answer right at the moment.

MR. CHERTOK: Then I will sit down.

THE COURT: Yes. I am going to ask, whether I get it is a different story, whether I have a commitment from you on behalf of your client given what we've talked about in time line all along, right, oral argument, the amount of work that I need to do to issue an opinion, that nothing will happen in terms of flipping the switch before I issue my opinion?

And if, for some magical reason, these time lines start to speed up, right, I do not want to be in a position where I have to entertain emergency papers because somebody's eager to flip a switch. All right? You want me to do my job, I need the opportunity to do my job after the oral argument. I have promised you all and I am going to do my utmost to get you a decision in a very reasonable period of time after the oral argument. I do not wish to have my attention diverted unnecessarily because somebody has decided to be over eager.

If there is any change in these time lines, I wish the Court to be notified as soon as possible. We will have a conversation. And if I have to adjust some things because the time lines have sped up, right, I want to know it because I want to — it is my earnest intent to issue an opinion before the time for flipping the switch on this, to give each side an opportunity to study the opinion and decide what steps they

```
1
    may need to take in light of that opinion, whatever the
 2
    outcome may be.
 3
             I want to be fair to you all in terms of your rights,
 4
    all right, but I also don't want to be surprised and basically
 5
    have to deal with emergency practice because somebody got over
 6
            I take your representations as officers of the court.
 7
    You've communicated to me what you know, but I fully
 8
    understand the pressures that are associated with this case
 9
    and if I have to move faster, I will. But I don't like
10
    surprises. Understood?
11
             MR. CHERTOK: Yes, your Honor, and we will certainly
12
    inform you if there's any material acceleration of unexpected
1.3
    date.
14
             THE COURT: I appreciate it.
                                           Thank you.
15
             Anything else of an administrative matter before we
16
    turn to timing and concerns about oral argument? Plaintiff?
17
             MR. MASTRO: No, your Honor.
18
             THE COURT: Plaintiff Amici, anything?
19
             MS. MATLOFF: No, your Honor.
20
             THE COURT: Defendants?
21
             MR. CUMMING: No, your Honor.
22
             THE COURT: Defendant Intervenor? Defendant Amici?
23
             Okay. Very good.
24
             All right. So to what degree, if any, have counsel
25
    had any conversations, be it e-mail, live, and in person or on
```

```
1
    the phone or video conference, about time allocation relative
 2
    to April 3 and 4?
 3
             MR. MASTRO: We have not, your Honor.
 4
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. So let's have a
 5
    conversation.
 6
             So, Mr. Mastro, we'll start with you. What is your
 7
    expectation? Your brief, if I remember correctly, has, I
 8
    think, about seven issues. It's A to G, if I remember
 9
    correctly.
10
             MR. MASTRO: Yes, your Honor.
11
             THE COURT: Okay. So the large majority, in fact,
12
    almost all of them, except the last one, relate to claims with
13
    respect to NEPA. It's only the last issue that deals with the
14
    Clean Air Act.
15
             MR. MASTRO: Correct, your Honor.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. So to what degree have you thought
17
    about how much time do you need to educate the Court about the
18
    claims that you -- and the arguments that you make in your
19
    brief?
20
             MR. MASTRO: May I rise, your Honor?
21
             THE COURT: You may. You may even come to the podium
22
    if it makes you happy.
23
             MR. MASTRO: It is obvious to me that my time is not
24
    needed to educate the Court, but rather to --
25
             THE COURT: It's a very nice compliment. The Court
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
always needs education. It's a continuing learning process.
         MR. MASTRO: But, your Honor, there are -- with this
kind of dense record, and the complexity of the issues,
there's obviously, I believe, a benefit to the Court from oral
argument going in to the particulars on each of those seven
issues and I know your Honor knows the standards.
         While it's an arbitrary and capricious standard, it's
also a hard look standard. And there has to be rationale
explanation for decisions made that, to us, seem inexplicable
for any other reason than to reach a predetermined outcome.
         So we need to lay that out to the Court on each of
those seven areas and how the law applies but how the dense
record also proves our case.
         So, your Honor, I was thinking, if your Honor
permitted, I have said this before, I have trouble saying my
name in less than half an hour, so I was hoping your Honor
would show me -- afford me the opportunity to spend up to two
hours laying out those seven issues.
         THE COURT: All right. All right, Mr. Mastro, why
don't you have a seat --
         MR. MASTRO: Thank you.
         THE COURT: -- and we'll have a little conversation
about this. Okay. So two hours. I'm not uncomfortable with
two hours.
         What I'd like to do, though, is to have some
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

24

understanding, to the degree that you're comfortable, because I'm not going to ask you in front of the other side to disclose your oral argument strategy, all right, but I am going to ask you to, again, to the degree that you're comfortable, talk to me about how you think you might want to proceed. So given the comment that I made a few minutes ago, my preference would be that we deal with all the NEPA-related issues and then we deal with the Clean Air Act issue. Okay? Now, whether we do your affirmative oral argument on NEPA, stop, let the plaintiff Amici stand up, say their peace, and then let the defendants and defendant intervenor and defendant Amici respond and then we go to the Clean Air Act. I haven't sorted it out yet, that's part of the conversation I want to have here today. I will say, at this point, what I'll call is a suggestion. It's not an admonition. It's not a requirement. All right. My experience has taught me that not every issue is of equal value. Not every issue does counsel truly, when you ask them to do a 360 evaluation, counsel has a good faith basis for making the argument, but they don't necessarily believe that it is, shall we say, equal in value to other issues. You want to follow the order in your brief, your You want to lead with your best issue or issues, it choice.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would be greatly appreciated by the Court because I candidly don't want to sit here for an hour and a half and have the lead buried and get to the best issue, you know, three quarters or 90 percent of the way through your oral argument. I want you to try to focus me on what's your best argument and why. All right? The degree to which you give me a heads-up about the order in which you're going to proceed, you can do it when you stand up and right after, "May, it please the Court," you want to give me a heads-up the afternoon before, that's great. Trust me. We're going to have a conversation. You're going to make representations. I'm going to ask you questions. All right? So we're going to have a colloguy. Right? So two hours is not going to be two hours. Two hours may be two and a half hours. It could even be All right? We'll find a logical place to take a three. Right? So everybody gets the opportunity to check their messages, call their office, bathroom break, get a drink of water, whatever it might be. Okay? How does that sound to you, Mr. Mastro? MR. MASTRO: Your Honor, I would expect nothing less. I look forward to that colloquy and, of course, the way I prepare an argument is to make sure that my -- what I consider to be the most important arguments in the case get the priority in terms of time and presentation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I appreciate that, Mr. Mastro. I will say to you not everybody does that. I've had the experience of a number of counsel who just kind of rotely down the arguments as outlined in their brief and sometimes that works and sometimes it doesn't. MR. MASTRO: Well, your Honor, I know you and those on your team will have studied those briefs, so I'm going to know what I need to talk about in part by that colloquy. But yes, I intend to proceed in that manner. I'm not going to today describe the order in which I would present those arguments. My adversaries are perfectly capable of figuring out how they want to respond. THE COURT: Right. MR. MASTRO: But I will just say this, your Honor, while I may be a grey eminence, I have tremendous stamina so do not worry about how long we go. I will go the distance. THE COURT: Okay. I will make this next comment for the benefit of both sides. All right. My experience has taught me in the area of administrative law that generally, right, administrative law cases break down into five basic buckets. Okay? The first bucket is -- and this is not in order of importance, okay -- substantial evidence. Right? Do the findings made by the agency support the decision of the agency on the basis of substantial evidence in the record?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I will give you all a clue. All right. If you haven't all figured this out as of yet, you're more than welcome to go do Westlaw research for Judge Gordon, substantial evidence is not a quantitative standard. not how big my pile is. Right. When you read the Supreme Court decisions it talks about more than a mere scintilla. When you read the treatises, it is clear that substantial evidence, like other standards in administrative law, is a word formula that connotes reasonableness. Right? So I will tell you, all sides, if you stand up and you start to argue to me that your pile is bigger than the other side's pile, you and I are going to have a very significant conversation about qualitative versus quantitative. All right? It's about reasonableness, and I would hope that your arguments are couched in the context of reasonableness or reasonable or unreasonable. If you have any doubt about what substantial evidence means and what I'm going to be looking for, I welcome you to go read my CIT opinions and, candidly, I make some reference in some of those opinions to some of the treatises. You want to make sure that we are all on the same wave right? length. Do yourself a favor. All right? And there are certain things that are I'm going to be looking for in the argument. All right? If you're going to tell me, for example, the plaintiffs, that the findings made

by the Federal Highway Administration do not meet the substantial evidence standard and they didn't consider facts that detract from that finding, I'm telling you right now, it's not enough to make that broad statement because as sure as we're here, I'm going to ask you where? What? So be prepared to point to the record, that portion of the record, that supports your argument that the agency's decision-making was unreasonable. And if you can't, don't make the argument.

Defense, they are going to get up and they are going to say your fact finding is unreasonable. Right? They are going to make some representations that there's facts in the record that detract from the findings. My simple question to you is going to be how did you deal with it? Where? If you want an outcome, your job is to ostensibly give me that road map to get there.

Oh, by the way, if I ask you a question, please make sure no wax in your ears. Right? If I ask the question I know that there's an answer that you want to give me that makes your point, answer my question. Then you're free to make whatever other point you want to make.

If you don't answer my question, it's going to be a very simple comment from me, and so the answer to my question is, and we're not moving off of it until you give me an answer. And the only way we move off of it if you don't give me an answer is, I'm going to assume an answer, and I'm going

to state it on the record, and then I'm going to tell you to move on. It's relatively simple rules of the game.

Okay. Second bucket, in accordance with law. In accordance with law means you're asking me to look at a statute, a regulation, what the words in the statute or regulation mean. If you're going to tell me that they mean something or don't mean something, you got to point to something that gives me that road map that allows me to reach the same conclusion you're reaching. If you can't, don't make the argument.

If you are telling me that the agency didn't apply the law correctly, we're going to have a very different conversation. Application is law to fact which means I have to look at the record.

If I'm deciding what a statute or regulation means, I don't have to look at the record to understand that meaning. I have to look at the FONSI or the EA, right, because that's represents the agency's explanation, but I don't need to look at the record. Application is substantial evidence in the view of Judge Gordon. It is not a legal interpretation.

Now the interesting question is: If we have legal issues, namely what the statute means, is that a threshold question that I have to look at first before I even get to substantial evidence in the record? Because if it turns out that you're right about the legal interpretation question,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

then it means the agency may have done it incorrectly which means I automatically have to send it back, which may then mean that whatever fact-finding they did may or may not So in terms of organizing your oral argument, you survive. got to figure out whether you got threshold legal questions or And you got to make sure I understand that it is a threshold legal question, meaning depending upon whether the agency's interpretation of the statute of the reg was correct. If it wasn't, does it mean full stop and do we have to talk about anything else? Third bucket, procedural issues. Did the agency do what it was supposed to do? Did it hold a hearing? Did it issue a notice at an appropriate point in time, etc.? All To what degree there may or may not have been harmless error if the agency did or didn't do exactly what it was supposed to do. Fourth bucket, past practice. Right? Is there even past practice? Did the agency follow that past practice? Did it depart from that past practice? If it departed, why? What distinguished this situation from the past practice? Fifth bucket, reasonable decision-making. I'll make it very clear to you folks. The agency under the standard is not required to write an A paper. They can write a C plus The standard is discernible path. Is there a way to connect the dots? Did the agency connect the dots in such a

way that the Court can see a discernible path? And that
includes drawing all reasonable inferences from the decision
making.

So if you are looking for the agency in its final

So if you are looking for the agency in its final written determination to have dotted every I and crossed every T, maybe, maybe not. Make sure you understand what that standard is on a reasonably discernible path.

Gee whiz, there happens to be a theme.

Reasonableness. All right. Understand what is meant by what is reasonable and what is unreasonable and frame your arguments up. I'm not saying to you you have to, but trust me, I am looking at your briefs and I'm looking at the record and I'm trying to plug in to each one of those buckets your issues and your arguments. You're free to present your case however you want to do it, but trust me when we engage in a colloquy, I'm coming -- I'm going to talk to you, I'm going to ask you thinking about it in terms of my five buckets.

Now, I know we have this outline that we just went over. There are additional steps in the administrative process and so defendants raised this in its brief, we've got a ripeness issue. We have cross-motions for summary judgment.

I have to figure out, with your help, what we're doing first. We got cross-motions for summary judgment. I got a ripeness defense that's being argued. The question is:

Do we do that first or do we do that second? Do we do that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
I want to hear from everybody. All right. I don't
necessarily know that I have to decide it today before you
walk out of here, which would be nice, but you may want to
think about it.
         So any questions about anything that I have said so
     Is anybody uncertain about what you need to bring to the
table on April 31st?
         Mr. Mastro, anything more you wish to say on behalf
of the plaintiff at this juncture?
         MR. MASTRO: Just two things, your Honor, very
briefly.
         I do think your Honor's suggestion about NEPA claims
and then Clean Air Act, that makes a lot of sense to me and
while there's a relationship between the two claims they are
under separate statutory schemes. Number one.
         THE COURT: Yep.
         MR. MASTRO: Number two, I will just say this on
ripeness, your Honor. The FONSI, final, there are certain
claims that relate to the decision they will have -- they are
finalizing now in terms of implementation of the plan, but the
FONSI final, they found no significant environmental impact.
         THE COURT: So Mr. Mastro --
         MR. MASTRO: Yeah.
         THE COURT: -- you can save that for the argument.
         MR. MASTRO: I will, your Honor.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: I got it.
 2
             MR. MASTRO: Okay.
 3
             THE COURT: But there is a claim that is out there
 4
    that is beyond your claims that showed up in the cross-motion
 5
    for summary judgment and I got to figure out where it fits in
    the equation so I can help you help me organize the oral
 6
 7
    argument.
 8
             MR. MASTRO: Understood completely, your Honor.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay.
10
             MR. MASTRO: It's just that whenever anyone asks me
11
    about this case, I go this is the first of its kind,
12
    unprecedented, never happened in this nation before, but
1.3
    finding of no significant impact. Does that compute? I will
14
    be explaining that when we come back on the 3rd.
15
             THE COURT: You got it.
16
             MR. MASTRO: Thank you.
17
             THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Cummings, what do you have to
18
    say?
19
             MR. CUMMING: I would suggest --
20
             THE COURT: You're taking a few deep breaths, getting
21
    ready to go.
22
             MR. CUMMING: I'm very relaxed.
23
             THE COURT: I'm happy to see it.
24
             MR. CUMMING:
                           I would suggest, your Honor, and I
25
    don't have any strong opinion about the overall time limit,
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Mastro proposed, but that the Court break down the argument further, which is to say rather than two hours per side, we proceed issue by issue because I think, as your Honor noted, the NEPA claims and the Clean Air Act claim are distinct, but also within the NEPA claims plaintiffs' argument about public participation is fairly distinct from air quality claims and down the line. And I think it might be more helpful to the Court if the parties proceed topically. I'm happy to defer to Mr. Mastro on the order in which he would like to address claims or issues, but that we proceed in that order so plaintiffs would speak, defendants would speak, and then defendant Intervenor would speak, and then we would proceed to the next topic and down the line. THE COURT: Okay. Anything more, Mr. Cumming? MR. CUMMING: On your ripeness question, your Honor, I think we can address that to the extent the Court adopted my suggestion, we could address that as we go through the topics where that argument is relevant because it is not relevant to all of those claims. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Chertok? MR. CHERTOK: Now, I can make this very brief, your We're in concurrence with Mr. Cumming. I think it makes more sense to have the colloquy on separate areas rather than trying to do it on a two-hour-plus sweep and then go back

1 to individual areas. I think it will make for a more coherent 2 and effective argument for everyone and therefore provide a 3 better education to the Court, if I may use that term. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. All right. 5 Mr. Mastro, any reaction? 6 MR. MASTRO: Thank you, your Honor. If I may rise 7 I'm more comfortable on my feet. again? 8 Your Honor, I committed to the Court which is the way 9 I approach cases that have a variety of complex issues that 10 I'm going to present on those issue and prioritize within 11 those issues the presentation. 12 I think I go first since I'm the plaintiff and then 1.3 they will know what issues to respond to, but to break out the 14 six or seven different NEPA issues, all of which go to whether 15 it was a proper NEPA process or whether they have to have a 16 remand and do additional work, I think that that is, one, 17 putting the cart before the horse; and two, causing us to 18 spend more time on some issues that it will be apparent are 19 not the priorities from your Honor's questions and from my 20 presentation. 21 And I know how capable Mr. Chertok is to responding 22 to one's arguments, so I don't think Mr. Chertok needs a road 23 map in advance and we break each one separately as if they are 24 all of equal importance or priority. Your Honor will help us 25 define what the priorities are when we come here from your

questions. I will help, hopefully, the Court in defining those priorities by my presentation and the priority I give certain issues.

But I don't think that we should be approaching this in advance as each of the six or seven NEPA issues is of equal priority and prominence and should be broken down separately. There's actually a cumulative effect. Your Honor talked about it. At the end of the day, the administrative process in which I participated defending as an AUSA in the day, participate is as a deputy mayor when I was in government, and participate now so often in private practice is about reasonableness and compliance with the law. They overlap. So to separate them out is not to understand the totality of the unreasonableness and violation of law here.

So I would suggest that I be able to give my presentation, I be able to respond and, on my feet, respond to your Honor's questions so that I know the priorities from the Court's perspective, both in terms of what I'm presenting and what the Court asks, and that we not pigeonhole issues in advance to separate them out when they overlap and are related and all go to reasonableness and legality.

And one last thing I would say, your Honor, your

Honor raised it the last time we were here, I think it remains
as relevant given the short time frames that are going to

exist here, and I appreciate the Court focusing so quickly on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
these issues, and I intend to help the Court in every way be
    able to do this in an orderly fashion within the limited time
    frames available, no surprises. I want no surprises from
    them. You will get none from me.
             But I think your Honor asked about remedy and I think
    the parties should be prepared to discuss remedy as well as
    part of the argument that we have here.
             Thank you, your Honor.
             THE COURT: Mr. Cumming, any thoughts? Additional
    thoughts?
                          Respectfully, your Honor, I disagree
             MR. CUMMING:
    with Mr. Mastro. I think -- to the extent that I think I
13
    understand the Court is going to have many questions and will
    be focused on the record, the ability for each party to move
    through the record on a specific topic in, you know, quick
    response to the Court's inquiries will be more helpful to
    everyone.
             All right. Thank you.
             MR. CUMMING: Thank you.
             THE COURT: Mr. Chertok, anything further?
             MR. CHERTOK: I don't think anything more to add.
                                                               Ι
    think you can break down the NEPA claims into, you know, a
    handful of major issues without revealing any strategy in
    advance.
             THE COURT: Okay.
```

```
1
             MR. CHERTOK: I don't think it's any secret of what
 2
    the principal issues are going to be and I think that would
 3
    help the Court.
 4
             THE COURT:
                         They are spelled out in the briefs
 5
    already and you know --
 6
             MR. CHERTOK: Exactly.
 7
             THE COURT: -- unless there's a significant change in
 8
    circumstances unknown to the Court, the parties are going to
 9
    be confined by what they put in the brief to argue before me
10
    so.
11
             MR. CHERTOK: Exactly.
12
             THE COURT: And it's not as if the arguments are any
13
    great secret.
14
             MR. CHERTOK: Exactly. And that's why I think it's
15
    more productive to break it down into shorter segments rather
16
    than two to two-and-a-half hour straight segments of argument.
17
             THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
18
                         Let me shift to the folks not at the
             All right.
19
             Amci, what is your sense of how much time you would
20
    like to stand up and say me, too, I support the arguments
21
    raised either by the plaintiff or by the defendant or the
22
    defendant Intervenor? If any at all.
23
             MS. MATLOFF:
                           Well, I had spoken to Bruce Nagel about
24
    that and he was requesting 20 minutes.
25
             THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Mateen?
```

```
1
             MR. MATEEN: I don't think I would be more than
 2
    20 minutes or even up to 20 minutes.
 3
             THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Otis?
 4
             MR. OTIS: Yes, your Honor. The bulk of our argument
 5
    is in our brief. Following the advice of Professor Irving
 6
    Younger, we will be brief for the reasons --
 7
             THE COURT: You're showing your age, Mr. Otis.
 8
             MR. OTIS: I am.
 9
             And I don't see why we would need more than ten
10
    minutes, but we'll take 20 if granted.
11
             THE COURT: Mr. Reichman?
12
             MR. REICHMAN: Your Honor, 20 minutes is also the
13
    time I thought that would be appropriate.
14
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. We're going to take
15
    about a ten-minute break. I am going to, shall we say, urge,
16
    politely suggest, that perhaps the lead counsel on each side
17
    might want to recess to the back bench and have a little chat
18
    and see if you can come to a reasonable accommodation. If you
19
    can, great. If you can't, you will tell me and I will decide.
20
             I will quietly reflect upon what you each have
21
    suggested. I will consult my team and we'll come back out.
22
    All right? So it is now 11:34. I will see you at 11:45.
23
             (Recess taken from 11:34 a.m. to 11:46 a.m.)
24
             THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate it.
25
             MR. MASTRO: Thank you, your Honor.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: Okay. Who wishes to talk?
 2
             MR. MASTRO: Your Honor, if I may approach the
 3
    podium?
 4
             THE COURT: Mr. Mastro.
 5
             MR. MASTRO: Thank you, your Honor.
 6
             THE COURT: By all means.
 7
             MR. MASTRO: Thank you.
 8
             What we have had -- we have a -- largely, a meeting
 9
    of the mind to propose to your Honor if your Honor agrees.
10
             Your Honor, we would propose that on the NEPA
11
    claim --
12
             THE COURT: Right.
1.3
             MR. MASTRO: -- that the argument start with me
14
    addressing the Court on what's really a threshold issue that
15
    overlaps --
16
             THE COURT: Okay.
17
             MR. MASTRO: -- ripeness and the fact that they are
18
    actually agreeing upon a plan that wasn't one of the seven
19
    scenarios they actually studied means either we automatically
20
    lose because it's not ripe or we automatic win because they
21
    never studied the alternative that they are now about to
22
    adopt.
23
             THE COURT: Okay. So let me see if I can spit this
24
    back to you.
25
             You're going to start with a discussion about
```

```
1
    ripeness?
 2
             MR. MASTRO: Ripeness and whether the alternative
 3
    that they -- it has two elements, your Honor. It's a
 4
    double-edged sword. It's their argument that they haven't
 5
    finally decided yet, so we are premature. And our argument
 6
    that well, they now have a final plan that's being approved.
 7
    We'll know that by the end of March.
 8
             THE COURT: Right.
 9
             MR. MASTRO: And it's not one of the seven
10
    alternatives they actually studied. And they've admitted in
11
    our court papers here that they need to do more study anyway
12
    on that, so they should -- there will automatically have to be
1.3
    a remand of that issue in any event.
14
             So I will be arguing that their ripeness argument
15
    actually speaks too loudly because the alternative they are
16
    actually choosing, they haven't studied, and they have to
17
    study it anyway. So there's going to have to be some remand
18
    no matter what.
19
             They will argue, oh, you shouldn't even think about
20
    this at all because it's not ripe. So I will address that.
21
    They will respond to me in 10 or 15 minutes each side --
22
             THE COURT: Okay.
23
             MR. MASTRO: -- on that one issue.
24
             THE COURT: So we're going to be generous and talk
25
    about 15 minutes per side, plus a little bit of time for me to
```

```
1
    ask questions.
 2
             MR. MASTRO: Of course.
 3
             THE COURT: It's going to run roughly, 40,
 4
    45 minutes.
 5
             MR. MASTRO: Of course, your Honor.
 6
             THE COURT: Okay. Before you proceed --
 7
             MR. MASTRO: I get it completely that these spots of
 8
    time will be a little stretched.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay. Before you proceed, to help my
10
    simple mind, which of my buckets are we talking about here?
11
    Are we talking about procedural? Due process? Are we talking
12
    about legal requirement? Are we talking about something that
1.3
    falls outside of one of my five buckets?
14
             MR. MASTRO: Certainly, your Honor, I want to have
15
    the buckets in front of me.
16
             THE COURT: You may or may not know the answer to
17
    that right at the moment.
18
             MR. MASTRO: Sure. Your Honor, I think we would be
    talking about, in these categories of your five buckets, we
19
20
    would be talking about -- in accordance with law, I think we
21
    would be talking about procedural issues and I think we would
22
    be talking about reasoned decision.
23
             THE COURT: Okay. Okay. That's fine.
24
             MR. MASTRO: There's an admission in the record here,
25
    your Honor.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: We're not going there.
 2
             MR. MASTRO: Okay.
 3
             THE COURT: We're not going there.
 4
             MR. MASTRO: Okay. You asked for the buckets.
 5
             THE COURT:
                        That's right. I asked for the buckets.
 6
             MR. MASTRO: The next, your Honor --
 7
             THE COURT: Again, Mr. Mastro, I ask the question,
 8
    respond to the question. Then we'll -- second step in this
 9
    process.
10
             MR. MASTRO: Would be the bulk of NEPA argument.
11
    would cover the other major issues raised in our brief with
12
    the exception of New Jersey agency participation. That would
1.3
    be carved out separately at the end.
14
             So the other NEPA-related issues about the
15
    environmental review process would be covered in this main
16
    bucket. That would involve all aspects by the way of your
17
    Honor's -- I shouldn't have used bucket.
18
             THE COURT: No, that's what I call them. Call it
19
    that. That's fine.
20
             MR. MASTRO: There are elements of all five of your
21
    buckets that go in the main NEPA argument and it involves
22
    issues -- your Honor is aware of them -- the air quality
23
    issue, the mitigation, and other related issues that are the
24
    bulk of the argument, including environmental justice. I'm
25
    going to come to that in a second. They -- as well as
```

alternatives.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

They would all be in this main section and that would be approximately an hour and a half on each side. I would go present our arguments, then they would respond to them.

The one tweak on this, your Honor, it's the only area where we don't have complete agreement. It was suggested by FHWA's counsel that should we carve out the environmental justice consideration.

Your Honor, the reason why we think these others overlap and need to be argued together is because they relate to the reasonableness, legality, and the administrative record in the following way:

You shouldn't separate out the consideration, the requirement indeed by executive order to consider environmental justice communities as opposed to the air rights issue when the irrationality, the arbitrariness and capaciousness of this is, in part, environmental justice communities they look at certain --

THE COURT: Nice try, Mr. Mastro.

MR. MASTRO: I'm just saying --

THE COURT: I got it.

MR. MASTRO: Okay.

THE COURT: Right.

24 MR. MASTRO: You understand.

25 THE COURT: You've tried three times today. Maybe

1 the third one was a foul ball. Do not cause me to raise my 2 right hand and punch you out on strike. 3 MR. MASTRO: I don't want to you punch me out. 4 just explaining they did not agree to environmental justice --5 THE COURT: Fine. Joe Friday, Bill Gang. The facts. 6 Nothing but the facts. 7 MR. MASTRO: Yes. And I was just explaining why we 8 included it because we think it overlaps. But, your Honor, 9 that's the next bucket. 10 And then the final bucket would be New Jersey 11 participation and whether that was honored, both it's as a 12 legal requirement in terms of your buckets, your Honor, 1.3 whether it's well prescribed as a matter of law and what the 14 administrative record actually shows and that would be done 15 separately at the end. You know, 10, 15 minutes each side on 16 that. 17 And that would cover the NEPA claim. 18 THE COURT: And then we would proceed to the Clean 19 Air Act. 20 MR. MASTRO: And then we would have a Clean Air Act 21 claim which I think is probably 15 minutes each side. 22 So the only thing that we had even a slight 23 difference is whether environment justice should be separated 24 out and I was trying to explain why I wouldn't separate it. 25 THE COURT: I got it.

```
1
             MR. MASTRO: Okay. Thank you, your Honor.
 2
             THE COURT: Mr. Cumming?
 3
             MR. CUMMING: I will speak just to the issue in which
 4
    we don't have agreement.
 5
             THE COURT: You got it.
 6
             MR. CUMMING: We believe it would be helpful to
 7
    separate out environmental justice. That analysis relies on a
 8
    separate portion of the environmental assessment and separate
 9
    appendices. Two in particular are fairly data heavy and I
10
    believe it would be helpful to the Court to be able to address
11
    those record issues separately from the remainder.
12
             THE COURT: Okay.
1.3
             MR. CUMMING: Thank you.
14
             THE COURT: Mr. Chertok, I assume you agree with
15
    Mr. Cumming?
16
             MR. CHERTOK: Yes, I do, your Honor but I do have one
17
    comment. I think it's -- and EJ is going to depend a lot on
18
    the record, and therefore, it makes sense to also separate it
19
    out.
20
             But in terms of the time allocations, when you said
21
    15 minutes, for example, for each side, I'm not sure what
22
    "each side" means, so I'm trying to -- I'd like some
23
    clarification on that.
24
             THE COURT: I didn't say anything about each side.
25
    Mr. Mastro represented, I nod my head. I said I understand.
```

```
1
           I have not yet decided 15 minutes each side. I am
 2
    perfectly aware that there are multiple participants on each
 3
    side. I will make sure that there's adequate time for each
 4
    one.
 5
             MR. CHERTOK: That was my question then.
 6
             THE COURT: However, I will just say this,
 7
    Mr. Chertok, it is not the MTA's administrative record or
 8
    agency decision-making that is before the Court. So I will
 9
    provide more than adequate time for the MTA to participate.
10
             MR. CHERTOK: Thank you, your Honor.
11
             THE COURT: But "me too" is perfectly acceptable in
12
    appropriate places.
13
             MR. CHERTOK: Yes, but in case of "not me too," I was
14
    just being cautious.
15
             THE COURT: I got it.
16
             MR. CHERTOK: Thank you, your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: I got it perfectly well. I will be
18
    equitable and fair in allocating time on each of the issues
    across the board. Have no fear.
19
20
             So you can report back to those who you represent
21
    that their concerns were heard and adequately taken into
22
    consideration. Okay?
23
             MR. CHERTOK: Thank you.
24
             THE COURT: You got it.
25
             All right. Mr. Mastro, I got a bunch of questions.
```

1	So I think we're fine on charge one.
2	Okay. The ripeness issue, whether the plan that's
3	under approval was studied or not studied. I get that. I'm
4	more than happy to deal with that as the threshold issue. All
5	right. So we got some agreement.
6	Let us say that I will finalize this, but I want to
7	give it some thought. I will give the plaintiff, State of New
8	Jersey, about 15 to 20 minutes on that. Let's assume for the
9	sake of argument that that block of time will be extended by
10	something. Ten, 15 minutes for questions from me. So the
11	affirmative presentation on the first tranche 30 minutes.
12	That includes questions from the Court, approximately. All
13	right?
14	The response from the DOJ on behalf of the Federal
15	Highway Administration, equal time. 30 minutes. Right. 15
16	to 20 for presentation plus questions.
17	All right. So you've got your block of 15 to
18	20 minutes, plus you accommodate whatever questions I may
19	have. Okay?
20	Mr. Chertok, on this issue how much time do you think
21	you need because you're the defendant intervenor here? Again,
22	it's not your decision that's being defended.
23	MR. CHERTOK: Probably 10 to 15, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	MR. CHERTOK: Without colloquy from your Honor.

```
1
             THE COURT: I got it. I got it.
                                               Okav.
 2
             MR. CUMMING: Your Honor, to the extent that it's
 3
    helpful to the Court, I do not plan on having lengthy
 4
    presentations. I will be here to primarily to answer the
 5
    Court's questions.
 6
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. You all have to have
 7
    expectations. Right? I need to fulfill them so that you can
 8
    plan appropriately. If you get up, Mr. Cumming, and say your
 9
    Honor, A, B, C, see these documents, and these pages in the
10
    administrative record, and we stand on the argument that we
11
    made in the brief, that's your choice. All right? I'm going
12
    to give you time to prepare, to make a presentation. If it's
1.3
    three and a half minutes, that's your choice. If it's the
14
    full 15 to 20 minutes, it's fine. If you stand up and say we
15
    stand on our brief, we'll be happy to answer any questions
16
    that the Court has, so be it. Okay?
17
             All right. Rebuttal and surrebuttal, Mr. Mastro,
18
    five to seven minutes, ten?
19
             MR. MASTRO: You mean on that issue, your Honor?
20
             THE COURT: Yes.
21
             MR. MASTRO: Your Honor, I think I wouldn't need more
22
    than five minutes, I hope.
23
             THE COURT: Okay. You got it.
24
             MR. MASTRO: I do realize I'm arguing against two
2.5
    sets of defendants.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: I'm going to accommodate you
 2
    appropriately. So if you tell me you need seven to ten
 3
    minutes to respond to two separate arguments made by the
 4
    defendant and the defendant Intervenor, I'll accommodate you.
 5
            I'm going to try to be as equal in my time
 6
    distributions as possible noting the fact that it's one and
 7
    two.
 8
             MR. MASTRO: Thank you, your Honor. I think I would
 9
    only need five minutes.
10
             THE COURT: Okay.
11
             Surrebuttal, Mr. Cumming, three to five, in all
12
    likelihood?
1.3
             MR. CUMMING: At most, your Honor.
14
             THE COURT: Mr. Chertok, same thing?
15
             MR. CHERTOK: Thank you.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. All right.
17
             Mr. Mastro, let's talk about the bulk of the NEPA
18
    argument for a moment.
19
             As best you can tell the Court at this juncture, are
20
    there any threshold issues that you are likely to talk to the
21
    Court, legal or procedural, in that presentation?
22
             MR. MASTRO: Potentially. Potentially.
23
             THE COURT: Okay. I'm assuming you're going to
24
    address substantial evidence questions, right, that the
25
    findings are not supported by the record or that the agency
```

```
1
    did not take into account certain facts in reaching its
 2
    findings of fact.
 3
             MR. MASTRO: Yes, your Honor.
 4
             THE COURT: I'm assuming you're going to talk about
 5
    past practice?
 6
             MR. MASTRO: Definitely, your Honor.
 7
             THE COURT: Okay. And I'm assuming that you're going
 8
    to have some commentary about reasoned decision-making or not?
 9
             MR. MASTRO: Yes, your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Mastro.
11
             MR. MASTRO: Thank you, your Honor.
12
             But there will be, in certain respects, issues about
13
    compliance with law or procedural process in terms of the way
14
    the process is structured.
15
             THE COURT: But my assumption is those will be more
16
    up front in your presentation?
17
             MR. MASTRO: They will, your Honor, but since they
18
    overlap with them getting into the reasonableness of the
19
    decision and the administrative record, they are a bit
20
    intertwined, but yes, each of those buckets will be raised at
21
    certain points in regard to these arguments.
22
             THE COURT: Okay.
23
             MR. MASTRO: Not every one of them.
24
             THE COURT: Right.
25
             MR. MASTRO: But in -- you know, in specific areas,
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

some of these other buckets that you've mentioned about compliance with law and procedural will come into play. And I will mention them up front, yes, and when I'm discussing that issue. THE COURT: I mentioned the buckets because my experience has taught me that that's a logical way for me to think about dealing with challenges in the administrative law context. MR. MASTRO: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: That's just me. If you disagree, you think that there's another formulation, a better way to address this, tell me. I'm open minded. I will listen to you. If there's a different kind of framework that you think I ought to be working under in order to reach my decision here, educate me. I'm happy to listen. MR. MASTRO: Your Honor has educated me, so I intend to address the five buckets as often as possible. THE COURT: All right. Of the issues that present themselves in the bulk, are those issues -- is there enough that distinguishes the environmental justice issue or, for that matter, any other issue or consideration or factor, however you want to label it, that perhaps requires more focus than others? MR. MASTRO: I don't think so, your Honor, for the following reason: There's overlap in the -- in some of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
I don't want to get into the merits. I know your
Honor doesn't want me to get into the merits. But in some
cases the explanation of why there's a problem with the
environmental justice issue relates to why there's a problem
with the air quality issue because they overlap but yet
different criteria were chosen and different areas were
studied. So I need to be able to talk about both together.
         THE COURT: Okay. Let's talk about this for a
        All right. You gave me what I think is a good
example. Air quality, environmental justice, they overlap.
There are different criteria, but there things about both of
them that create this.
         MR. MASTRO: Yes.
         THE COURT: Right?
         The suggestion has been to carve out environmental
justice and talk about it separately. What I'm trying to
figure out is: Is there any other issue like environmental
justice, right, that could get carved out or needs to be
talked about, as you've just explained, in conjunction with
environmental justice so that that conversation could, in
fact, be separate from all the other issues that you're going
to discuss in the bulk?
         There may well be overlap. I grant you that.
may be certain things that you say to me about the other in
the bulk that you're also going to say to me about air quality
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or environmental justice, but the importance of those two considerations may, in fact, be more important than some of the other things that you're going to ask me to consider in the bulk. And does it make sense to have a focused conversation about those so that the importance of those issues don't necessarily get -- I want to choose the right word here -- commingled and some of the significance of your comments lost when you are talking about the bulk? So what I'm asking you is, in very simple terms, is if you think air quality marries up with environmental justice in a way that's significant, instead of just carving out environmental justice, can we do the bulk minus air quality and environmental justice? MR. MASTRO: So I appreciate the question, your The bulk is air quality, environmental justice, mitigation and alternatives. Those four issues. There is an overlap in air quality, environmental justice and mitigation because what comes out of those issues is the --THE COURT: But mitigation is a remedy with respect to your client's concerns about air quality and environmental It's a logical flow from the fact that your client believes that the decision-making was not reasonable given the considerations that flow around air quality and environmental justice. It is a -- the fact that there wasn't enough done, in your opinion, in the plan regarding mitigation is a

```
1
    consequence of the inadequacy of the considerations around air
 2
    quality and environmental justice.
 3
             If you give me air quality and environmental justice
 4
    as the predicate separately, isn't it an easy consequential
 5
    flow to talk to me, then, about mitigation?
 6
             MR. MASTRO: Yes. That's why I think it's logical to
 7
    do them together because it's -- it logically relates to those
 8
    two and overlaps with them.
 9
             On mitigation as well, your Honor, it's not simply
10
    the absence of specified mitigation for those other areas.
11
    It's admissions in the administrative record of significant
12
    environmental impacts in certain areas where there isn't any
13
    specified mitigation. So that's a little different than the
14
    flow in air quality --
15
             THE COURT: I got it.
16
             MR. MASTRO: -- and environmental justice.
17
             But the three together, there's a symbiotic
18
    relationship in the three that I -- that's why I would like to
19
    do them together.
20
             Alternatives is a little different. Okay?
21
    Honor wanted to separate that out, it's a rather discreet
22
            I think it overlaps with these others because, again,
23
    the alternatives that were chosen they -- they relate to how
24
    the process was truncated or manipulated in those other areas,
25
    so I would do them all together, but alternatives could be
```

```
1
    done separately after those three.
 2
             THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Cumming, any reaction?
 3
             MR. CUMMING: As I said earlier, my preference would
 4
    be to address air quality, environmental justice, and
 5
    litigation separately. We did reach agreement on --
 6
             THE COURT: Everything else.
 7
             MR. CUMMING: -- everything else.
 8
             THE COURT: Okay.
 9
             MR. CUMMING: I do agree with Mr. Mastro that
10
    alternatives is a different inquiry and I would offer that the
11
    record on which that analysis depends is discreet from the
12
    other topics.
1.3
             THE COURT: Mr. Chertok?
14
             MR. CHERTOK: I'm being very short today. I agree
15
    with Mr. Cumming.
16
             THE COURT: Thank you.
17
             Okay. I'm going to cogitate and ponder for a couple
18
    of minutes on this while we're talking about other things.
19
             Let's move to New Jersey participation. We talked
20
    again about 15 to 20 minutes, correct, Mr. Mastro?
21
             MR. MASTRO: Fifteen minutes is fine.
22
             THE COURT: Mr. Cumming, we'll give you the same
23
    opportunity.
24
             Mr. Chertok, MTA's needs around?
25
             MR. CHERTOK: I'm sorry, I didn't hear what the other
```

```
1
    parties were saying, your Honor.
 2
             THE COURT: Fifteen to 20 minutes on the issue of New
 3
    Jersey participation.
             MR. CHERTOK: I think 15 because a lot of their
 4
 5
    participation came from MTA activities.
 6
             THE COURT: Okay. Rebuttal, we're still in the same
 7
    ballpark, about five to seven, or do you think you're going to
 8
    need more time because of the, shall we say, sensitivity of
 9
    the question of New Jersey participation?
10
             MR. MASTRO: Your Honor, I think five minutes would
11
    still be fine for rebuttal and I know your Honor's being
12
    sensitive to giving everyone the same amount of time, and
13
    that's appreciated, but there's a plaintiff's side and there's
14
    a defendant side. It seems they are getting more time on the
15
    codefendants' side than we are getting in terms of the
16
    allocations, but that's okay.
17
             THE COURT: Mr. Mastro, trust me, I'm not going to
18
    sit here with a stop watch. Okay? I'm just looking for
19
    parameters. If you think you need more time to respond in
20
    rebuttal after there have been two sets of comments from the
21
    defendant's side of the V, I'm more than happy to give it to
22
    you.
23
             I'm trying to figure out, candidly, whether we do
24
    this in one day in a marathon session or after I sit down and
25
    add up the time lines that we need to roll over into the
```

```
1
    second day.
 2
             If you think that, because there's a cumulative
 3
    hammering effect of two sets of comments by the defendant and
 4
    the defendant intervenor, you need 10 to 15 minutes in
 5
    rebuttal, I'm happy to give it to you.
 6
             You know don't tell me yes, that five minutes is okay
 7
    and then turn around and kvetch to me, right, that you got to
 8
    respond to two sets of comments. Just answer my question.
 9
    You need ten minutes. You think that you need more time to
10
    balance the equation, tell me. You will get it.
11
             MR. MASTRO: I appreciate that, your Honor. I think
12
    five minutes will be enough. I was a wrestler in high school.
1.3
    I'm used to tag team.
14
             THE COURT: Okay.
15
             Clean Air Act. Moving on. I'm assuming surrebuttal
16
    is in the same range of the three to five minutes. Right?
17
             MR. CUMMING: Correct.
18
             THE COURT: Clean Air Act, talk to me, Mr. Mastro,
19
    what do you need?
20
             MR. MASTRO: I think it would be similar, your Honor,
21
    15 to 20 minutes.
22
             THE COURT: Same thing?
23
             MS. HOWARD: Yes, your Honor. Fifteen to 20 minutes
24
    would be --
25
             THE COURT: Ah, I'm going to have the pleasure of
```

```
1
    your company at the podium?
 2
             MS. HOWARD: Yes, your Honor. Would you like me to
 3
    come up there right now?
 4
             THE COURT: No. No, not at all. You're perfectly
 5
    good, Ms. Howard.
 6
             MS. HOWARD: Thank you.
 7
             THE COURT: Fifteen to 20 minutes in response,
 8
    correct?
 9
             MS. HOWARD: Yes, that works for me, your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: Mr. Chertok?
11
             MR. CHERTOK: Ten to 15 minutes.
12
             THE COURT: Okay. Since we have a nice discreet
13
    issue here, Mr. Mastro, I'm going to give you ten to
14
    15 minutes in reply and we'll do about five to seven in
15
    surrebuttal. Okay?
16
             MS. HOWARD: Thank you, your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: Are there any other issues that don't
18
    fall under any other category that we need to theoretically
19
    preserve a little time for?
20
             MR. MASTRO: As I mentioned earlier today and your
21
    Honor mentioned --
22
             THE COURT: Remedy.
23
             MR. MASTRO: Remedy, yes.
24
             THE COURT: We'll see where we are on the clock as to
25
    where we're going to go with that. But figure around ten
```

```
1
    minutes, folks, to talk about that.
 2
             I'm going to take another break. I'm going to go in
 3
    the back for ten minutes and map out all of this and figure
 4
    out what that means for whether we can do this in one day or
 5
    two days. So give us about ten minutes and we'll be back.
 6
             MR. MASTRO: Thank you, your Honor.
 7
             (Recess taken from 12:25 p.m. to 12:25 p.m.)
 8
             THE COURT: Mr. Chertok, I hope you and Ms. Siderman
 9
    are taking good notes because Ms. Knauer, who I'm assuming is
10
    making most of the argument, who's not with us, and she needs
11
    to be -- I'm sure she's getting ready to or has already gone
    off on her well-needed child break.
12
13
             MR. CHERTOK: She sends her regards from London, your
14
    Honor.
15
             THE COURT: All right.
16
             MR. CHERTOK: Where she is now.
17
             THE COURT: She's where, Mr. Chertok?
18
             MR. CHERTOK: She is in London.
19
             THE COURT: Wonderful for her.
20
             MR. CHERTOK: But she will be here to do her part in
21
    the oral argument.
22
             THE COURT: Well, I trust you will educate her.
23
             MR. CHERTOK: We'll do our best.
24
             THE COURT: Well, folks, I've given this a bunch of
25
    consideration and we're not doing this in one day. So April 3
```

```
1
    and 4 are absolutely in play. There will be a modest amount
 2
    of flexibility depending upon how much time we eat up. All
 3
    right.
 4
             I'm going to give you the outline of what I think
 5
    we're going to do. I will reduce it to writing. You will get
 6
    it sometime on Monday and I will give you somewhere between 24
 7
    and 36 hours to respond. I am hoping that we do not have a
 8
    lot of controversy around this, but, you know, I want to give
 9
    you some time to reflect. So let me give you some broad
10
    strokes with some specifics. All right?
11
             My recollection is we're supposed to start at 10:30
12
    on the 3rd, but I think there's some -- there may be some
13
    modest flexibility. And so I know a bunch of you are coming
14
    from out of town and New York. I know what traffic is.
15
    10:30 is the proposed start time. If you think we can start
16
    at 10 o'clock in your comments, you will tell me.
17
             So here's what -- here's how I think I'd like us to
18
    proceed.
19
             Again, the assumption is starting at 10:30. We will
20
    run from 10:30 to lunch, whenever that happens, 12:15, 12:30,
21
    12:45, 1 o'clock, 1:15, whatever it might be.
22
             We will take up the issue as you described it, the
23
    threshold issue, ripeness, etc. That will eat -- that will
24
    take care of our morning on day one.
25
             The afternoon of day two with an end time of
```

approximately 4:30. All right? Trust me, you know, eight hours here in the courtroom, ten hours including travel and everything else, and then your ability to go back and, shall we say, debrief and figure out what adjustments, if any, you may need for day two, I want to give you an opportunity to do that. All right.

So I know you all are going to work after we're done here, but I also don't want you to suffer fatigue. So we're going to plan to end around 4:30.

What I would like to do in the afternoon session is begin the argument on the bulk of the NEPA issues except New Jersey agency participation and alternatives.

What I would like to concentrate on in that afternoon session is, Mr. Mastro, you give me an introduction, you tell me whatever you want to tell me as kind of an overall framework for the discussion, the colloquy around the bulk of the NEPA issues.

I would respectfully request that in that you can interrelate whatever you want to interrelate in a general manner about those issues and air quality and environmental justice. I would like you to then, after you've done what you've needed to do on the, what I will call, big picture overall and whatever other issues that are specific, other than air quality and environmental justice.

We'll take a break for a few minutes, and then I'm

1.3

going to give you an opportunity to talk to me about air quality and environmental justice and you can relate them, integrate them back, however you wish with respect to whatever you said to me before.

And in your setup and discussion of the other issues you can lean forward to whatever you might be discussing in greater detail about air quality and environmental justice in, what I will call, part two of the afternoon.

We'll then take a pause for five minutes and then you will talk to me about mitigation, however it relates to air quality and environmental justice or the larger bigger picture issues that you referred to in part one of your afternoon presentation.

I think that meets your needs and concerns about how you want to structure your presentation and yet at the same time it meets the needs from the defendant's side of the V that we've got some way to focus without being all over the place.

That will take us through the afternoon.

We'll reconvene the next morning and we will do New Jersey participation. Candidly, I think given what may be at stake there, that will take us to lunchtime, right, whatever else you may want to say about New Jersey participation and how it may have interrelated to anything that you said on day one, more than happy to listen to you, Mr. Mastro.

We'll take a lunch break. We will do alternatives and remedy. That will take us through the first two-thirds of the afternoon. And then we'll reserve the last third of the afternoon for the Clean Air Act.

Assuming we can do that in a reasonable period of time, we'll hear from the respective Amici. I will work the time periods that hopefully we will finish on day two, but there is a small — depending on how long certain things take, there may be a small chance that we may roll over to Friday morning. All right?

I am more than willing to stay until 6:00-ish on day two to get everything done, but if it looks like it's going to run a significant period of time beyond 4:30, we will break and commence Friday morning.

I will adjust the time periods back on a starting time if I think I need to in order to get us done on day two, but if there's more than an hour and a half to be finished on day two, then we're going to roll into day three. I'm hoping not.

I'm being very liberal and generous on the time periods because I don't know -- I know what you've told me your presentations are likely to be. Just don't know how colloquy is going to play itself out, and I don't know what one side or the other is going to say that is going to trigger some unanticipated longer -- some need for a longer response,

1 whether it's in rebuttal, reply, surrebuttal, or an answer to 2 Court questions. 3 Part of this is going to depend, candidly, if we're 4 getting into nitty-gritty, you know, making sure we understand 5 what is or may not be in the administrative record and what 6 those documents are saying. As much as I would like us to 7 finish in two days, candidly, less than two full days, I'm 8 being a little bit cautious here to make sure that there's 9 adequate time for everyone. 10 I will wrap this up in a chart or a memo, send this 11 to you. 12 Mr. Mastro, you look like you're having a massive headache from what I said. 1.3 14 MR. MASTRO: No, your Honor. Not having a massive 15 headache, but I will -- and this is my highest priority so as 16 long as it takes I will be here with bells on. I just wanted 17 the Court to be aware that I'm on the board of the University 18 of Pennsylvania Law School. We have an important dinner on 19 the evening of the 4th in Philadelphia and then our board 20 meeting on the morning of the 5th and if I have to miss it, I 21 have to miss it. It was just that I was planning to go 22 directly from court to --23 THE COURT: Folks, it's real easy. You can tell them 24 I will be as kind and magnificent as I can be. 25 Because you've got this commitment that you believe is uber

```
1
    me what adjustments you want to make.
 2
             MR. CUMMING: Your Honor?
 3
             THE COURT: It's that simple.
             MR. CUMMING: Your Honor, to the point about the
 4
 5
    start time, from the folks coming from D.C., we'll be staying
 6
    over in Newark the night before and we're happy to start as
 7
    early as convenient for the parties to move through this.
 8
             THE COURT: Well, Mr. Chertok is probably not staying
 9
    overnight in Newark. He's coming from New York.
10
    candidly, Ms. Knauer is not here and so, you know, if his
11
    client wants to pay for a hotel rooms in Newark so everybody
12
    can be here or they find some way to come through the tunnel
13
    with flashing lights or otherwise to be here, you all just
14
    tell me.
15
             MR. CHERTOK: We'll look into that, but certainly we
16
    can start, you know, probably 9:30, certainly, or even 9:00
17
    would be a reasonable time.
18
             THE COURT: Do you know what? I will make an
19
    adjustment. I will do the proposal for 9:30 and we will
20
    figure out if we can get everything done.
21
             MR. MASTRO: Really appreciate it, your Honor.
22
             THE COURT: Just out of curiosity, Mr. Mastro, what
23
    time is your dinner on Thursday night?
24
             MR. MASTRO: My dinner starts at 6:30, your Honor.
25
                         That means you got to be on the road by
             THE COURT:
```

```
1
    4:30 or else you're not going to make it. And unless they are
 2
    going to put your nice piece of steak in a warmer, you're
 3
    probably going to eat salad and dessert.
 4
             MR. MASTRO: I will miss the cocktail hour, your
 5
    Honor, and I don't need the cocktails.
 6
             THE COURT: All right. Any questions or concerns
 7
    about what the Court has outlined?
 8
             I will start with plaintiff Amici. Any concerns?
 9
             MS. MATLOFF: No, your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: You know you're at the tail end --
11
             MS. MATLOFF: Right.
12
             THE COURT: -- ultimately, of all of this. Okay.
13
             MS. MATLOFF: Well, I have one question. What you
14
    send around, will it include the time that you're allotting to
15
    each of us?
16
             THE COURT: I'm assuming that you're a college
17
    graduate and a law school graduate and if I say something's
18
    going to start at 9:30 and run to 12:30, you can pretty much
19
    figure out that I've allotted three hours for the argument in
20
    respective --distributed relatively equally amongst the sides,
21
    but yes, I will include the time and I will do the
22
    mathematics.
23
                    Defendant Intervenors, questions or comments?
             Okay.
24
             No, okay.
25
             You raised your hand? You wish to be recognized,
```

```
1
    Mr. Mastro?
 2
             MR. MASTRO: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.
 3
             THE COURT: Is Ms. Meyers going to have an
 4
    opportunity to say anything or are you just going to continue?
 5
             MR. MASTRO: I will look for that opportunity.
 6
    sure she would be delighted.
 7
             THE COURT: You raised your hand. How may I help
 8
    you, Mr. Mastro?
 9
             MR. MASTRO: Your Honor, I just -- in oral arguments
10
    sometimes we present certain materials, boards are displayed,
11
    items, I don't know that it's capable of doing that in this
12
    courtroom. Is there a screen or anything like that or we
13
    should just present poster boards or displays if we have some
14
    slides we want your Honor to see, parts of the record or
15
    things like that?
16
             THE COURT: You're asking me several questions in
17
    one, Mr. Mastro. First question you're asking me is: Will I
18
    allow to you present --
19
             MR. MASTRO: Yes, your Honor.
20
             THE COURT: -- demonstrative information to the
21
    Court?
22
             You want to give me a copy of administrative record
23
    document 43285 to look at specifically while you're making,
24
    then provide it to me.
25
             Second question you're asking me, obviously, with
```

```
1
    sufficient copies for everyone else who's participating, are
 2
    you asking me do we have the technology for you to do show and
 3
    tell the answer is, Sharia, you got to tell me, this courtroom
 4
    does not, but do we have the ability to do show and tell?
 5
             THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, they can bring a portable
 6
    television up.
 7
             THE COURT: Okay. And do they have to supply us with
 8
    a flash drive and we post it or do we connect their laptop?
 9
             THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: They can connect to the
10
    television.
11
             THE COURT: So, Mr. Mastro, when you submit your
12
    comments about the time allocations, tell us what you need and
13
    I will have Ms. Creegan or someone else from the clerk's
14
    office respond to you so that we can accommodate the
15
    technology for show and tell.
16
             MR. MASTRO: Thank you, your Honor. That would be
17
    wonderful.
18
             THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Mastro?
19
             MR. MASTRO: Not from me, your Honor.
20
             THE COURT: Mr. Cumming? Ms. Howard?
21
             MR. CUMMING: Nothing from us.
22
             THE COURT: Mr. Chertok?
23
             MR. CHERTOK: Nothing, your Honor.
24
             THE COURT: Okay. So we're in pretty good shape.
25
             All right. I am going to do one more thing while I
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
need to beg your indulgence. I do wish to speak to each side.
I wish to have a candid conversation about a couple of things
that are on my mind with each side, but it potentially borders
on the disclosure of oral argument strategy, so I'm going to
do it separately in chambers. It should take probably not
much more than about ten minutes a side, and my hope is to get
you out of here, actually a half hour before we were scheduled
to end.
                                         There being none,
         So are there objections? Okay.
plaintiff's side, including Amici, come talk to me. You will
then come back out for a final couple of words.
         (Recess taken from 12:43 p.m. to 1:19 p.m.)
         THE COURT: All right, folks. We've had very
fruitful conversations. I appreciate your professionalism and
courtesy, your respect for the Court, your candor. It was --
I think we made a lot of progress today and I appreciate it
greatly.
         I want to leave you all with a couple of last
thoughts. Number one, I'm going to get you a summary, right,
give you a reasonable period of time to respond to it.
         If there's any reason that you think we need to talk
about anything even if it's the simplest issue between now and
April the 3rd, send a note to Ms. Creegan. We'll do it either
in little two-by-two boxes or we'll do it here. I don't care
how simple or how ridiculous you think it might be that you
```

don't want to bother the Court. I'd rather we take care of it all up front so that everybody is calm and ready to go and focused on the things that we need to do on April 3rd. Okay?

Second thing, see this little handheld computer. Not only am I going to make sure that it's on off or vibrate for everybody, if I see anyone reach for these in any way shape or form while we are in active session, whether they are at counsel table or they belong to you or a surrogate of yours or your client or some representative of your client in the gallery, we are stopping and we're having a conversation.

If you don't know what the New Jersey local rule is on social media or simultaneous recording or broadcasting or whatever, you might want to call.

If somebody's using this, we're stopping and having a conversation. I do not wish to embarrass anybody and I will determine whether that person will be allowed to stay in the courtroom or have to surrenderer their cell phone.

Any time we take a break you want to get on your cell phone, check your messages, call your office, that's fine. I am fully aware of incidents where associates have been sitting at counsel table and posting on Twitter or Instagram. Trust me, you don't want me to stop and have a conversation. I don't want to put you as the leads in this case in a position where you have to go back and talk to the managing partner of your firm because you got to discipline somebody because they

```
1
    were dialectically stupid.
 2
             I can't control your clients and what they do or your
 3
    surrogates and what they do. You're officers of the Court.
 4
    You got to behave with courtesy, dignity, and respect to the
 5
    Court. You're professional enough, experienced enough that I
 6
    know you will but, unfortunately, I got to give you an
 7
    admonition that those who are here with you or work under you,
 8
    etc., may not be as experienced as you. They're different
 9
                  They may not think like you.
    generations.
10
             Trust me, the moment somebody walks out the
11
    courtroom, they can report on whatever they want to report on.
12
    I have no problems with it. Inside this courtroom,
13
    100 percent attention has got to be focused on you and what
14
    you have to say on behalf of your clients, right, and I have
15
    to be able to concentrate on that. If there is a distraction
16
    because somebody decides they want to be important and post on
17
    social media, that's not respectful of you. Forget about
18
    being respectful of me. It's not respectful of you.
19
             We already had one incident, okay, in which somebody
20
    associated with one of the entities here made two
21
    inappropriate phone calls. We've taken care of all of that.
22
    I do not wish a repeat during oral argument. It's too
23
    important a case for our attention to be diverted by nonsense.
24
             Understood? Mr. Mastro?
25
             MR. MASTRO: Absolutely, your Honor.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: Plaintiff Intervenors, understood?
 2
             MS. MATLOFF: Yes, your Honor.
 3
             THE COURT: Government counsel?
 4
             MR. CUMMING: Yes, your Honor.
 5
             THE COURT: MTA counsel?
 6
             MR. CHERTOK: Yes, your Honor.
 7
             THE COURT: Defendant Intervenors?
 8
             MR. REICHMAN: Yes, your Honor.
 9
             THE COURT: You walk out of this courtroom, you want
10
    to post something on social media, you want to talk to the
11
    media, I don't care, that's your absolute right.
                                                      But while
12
    we're here, we got to abide by the Rules. Okay?
13
             I really appreciate your professionalism. I
14
    appreciate your cooperation. You've been nothing but model
15
    attorneys before me since we started our time together. I
16
    fully look forward to that continuing on April 3rd and 4th and
17
    you putting me in the best position possible to do my job to
18
    get you an opinion in a very reasonable period of time.
19
             I do want to reiterate the more you cooperate in that
20
    regard, the easier you make it for me, it will be -- it is my
21
    clear intention to issue an opinion in a timely manner that
22
    allows you to assess the opinion, advise your clients, and
23
    figure out what you have to do next sufficiently in advance of
24
    what right now is the targeted green light day of
25
    approximately June 15th.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
The more you do to help me, the more I can help you
and give you that opportunity. So I appreciate everything. I
appreciate your time. A child's birthday party, a family get-
together, a law school dinner and board meeting, if it affects
the timing on what we're trying to do here on April 3rd and
4th, tell me, I will do my utmost to move heaven and earth to
accommodate you all. What you choose to do, your business,
but I will do what I can to accommodate you as best you can
because you have been kind enough to accommodate me as we've
worked our way toward April 3rd and 4th and I appreciate it.
         This is a cooperative effort to get us through an end
result and I cannot thank you enough for your cooperation.
         And we're at 1:25, five minutes before the end period
so we are done on time. Thank you much-ly. We'll send you
something, hopefully on Monday, that outlines the blocks of
time. And as I calculate those blocks, we'll figure out an
appropriate start and end time.
         For those of you who traveled, please travel safely
back, and I will see you in two weeks.
         MR. MASTRO: Thank you, your Honor.
         MS. MATLOFF:
                       Thank you, your Honor.
         MR. CUMMING:
                       Thank you, your Honor.
         MR. CHERTOK:
                       Thank you, your Honor.
         THE COURT: For those of you who are celebrating the
upcoming Good Friday, Easter holiday, have a pleasant one.
```

/	2	42393 [1] - 14:1	62:3, 70:4	11:10, 12:22, 13:4,
,	_	42828 [1] - 13:22	able [6] - 36:15, 36:16,	15:4, 15:22, 17:18,
/ S [1] - 76:12	2 [2] - 1:9, 12:13	43124 [1] - 14:3	37:2, 46:10, 53:7,	18:16, 18:21, 28:1,
	20 [14] - 38:24, 39:2,	43145 [1] - 14:6	73:15	48:15
0	39:10, 39:12, 48:8,	43276 [1] - 14:9	above-entitled [1] -	administrative [22] -
	48:16, 48:18, 49:14,	43285 [1] - 69:23	76:10	7:5, 9:12, 11:4,
03/25/24 [1] - 76:12	56:20, 57:2, 58:21,	45 [1] - 42:4	absence [1] - 55:10	12:18, 13:3, 14:13,
03885 [1] - 4:10	58:23, 59:7	45346 [1] - 15:20	absolute [1] - 74:11	16:5, 21:15, 26:19,
07043 [1] - 3:5	20,000 [1] - 15:14	48,000 [1] - 12:11	absolutely [2] - 61:1,	26:20, 27:8, 31:19,
07068 [1] - 1:20	20002 [2] - 2:5, 2:13	4:30 [4] - 62:1, 62:9,	73:25	36:8, 44:11, 45:14,
07102 [2] - 1:10, 2:9	201 [1] - 1:19	64:13, 68:1	acceleration [1] -	47:7, 49:10, 51:19,
07601 [1] - 3:23	2022 [8] - 12:21,	4th [9] - 2:12, 13:11,	21:12	52:7, 55:11, 65:5,
	12:23, 13:8, 13:10,	13:18, 16:24, 65:19,	acceptable [1] - 47:11	69:22
1	13:25, 14:1, 14:3,	74:16, 75:6, 75:10,	accommodate [7] -	admission [1] - 42:24
	15:7	76:2	48:18, 50:1, 50:4,	admissions [1] -
1 [4] - 1:6, 9:25, 14:19,	2023 [2] - 15:3, 15:21		70:14, 75:7, 75:8,	55:11
61:21	2024 [1] - 1:10	5	75:9	admitted [1] - 41:10
1,600 [1] - 12:11	21 [1] - 1:10		accommodating [1] -	admittedly [1] - 8:3
1/13/2023 [1] - 14:21	23 [2] - 4:10, 12:23	5 [1] - 66:24	66:25	admonition [2] -
10 [5] - 41:21, 45:15,	23rd [1] - 13:2	56 [1] - 3:5	accommodation [2] -	24:17, 73:7
48:23, 58:4, 61:16	24 [1] - 61:6	560 [1] - 2:16	39:18, 66:2	adopt [1] - 40:22
100 [1] - 73:13	25th [1] - 13:23	5th [2] - 3:22, 65:20	accompanied [2] -	adopted [1] - 34:17
10017 [1] - 3:19	26 [1] - 15:20		5:8, 5:15	advance [5] - 35:23,
10022 [1] - 2:17	28,000 [1] - 15:17	6	accordance [3] - 29:3,	36:5, 36:20, 37:24,
10036 [2] - 1:17, 3:16	29th [1] - 13:25	<u> </u>	29:4, 42:20	74:23
103 [1] - 1:19	2:23-cv-03885-LMG-	60-day [3] - 17:21,	according [1] - 16:21	adversaries [1] -
10:30 [4] - 61:11,		18:7, 18:16	account [1] - 51:1	26:11
61:15, 61:19, 61:20	LDW [1] - 1:4	6:00-ish [1] - 64:11	accurate [3] - 16:19,	advice [1] - 39:5
10:34 [1] - 4:2	2	6:30 [1] - 67:24	18:13, 19:12	advise [1] - 74:22
10:35 [1] - 1:11	3		Act [10] - 22:14, 24:9,	affects [1] - 75:4
1177 [1] - 3:16	3 [2] - 22:2, 60:25	7	24:13, 32:13, 34:4,	afford [1] - 23:17
1185 [1] - 1:16	30 [3] - 14:1, 48:11,		45:19, 45:20, 58:15,	afternoon [10] - 8:14,
11:34 [2] - 39:22,	48:15	7 [1] - 15:14	58:18, 64:4	25:10, 61:25, 62:10,
39:23	30-day [1] - 19:9	733 [1] - 3:19	act [1] - 18:17	62:13, 63:8, 63:12,
11:45 [1] - 39:22	31 [2] - 14:3, 17:13	76 [1] - 1:6	Action [5] - 3:7, 3:7,	63:19, 64:3, 64:4
11:46 [1] - 39:23	31 [2] - 14.3, 17.13	776-3885 [1] - 1:24	3:10, 3:13	age [1] - 39:7
12 [1] - 12:21			ACTION [1] - 1:3	agencies [2] - 13:12,
12:15 [1] - 61:20	31st [3] - 14:6, 14:10,	8	action [1] - 18:21	15:7
12:15 [1] - 61:20 12:25 [2] - 60:7	32:7		action [1] - 18:23	agency [16] - 13:14,
	350 [1] - 3:9	8 [1] - 15:3	active [1] - 72:7	17:24, 26:24, 29:11,
12:30 [2] - 61:20,	36 [1] - 61:7	8/25 [1] - 13:22		30:1, 30:11, 30:15,
68:18	360 [1] - 24:20	800 [1] - 15:14	activities [1] - 57:5	30:18, 30:22, 30:25,
12:43 [1] - 71:12	39319 [1] - 11:9	8:30 [2] - 66:21	add [5] - 5:18, 8:16,	31:4, 43:12, 47:8,
12:45 [1] - 61:21	3rd [8] - 33:14, 61:12,		18:10, 37:21, 57:25	50:25, 62:12
14th [1] - 3:19	71:23, 72:3, 74:16,	9	added [1] - 16:20	agency's [3] - 28:7,
15 [18] - 41:21, 41:25,	75:5, 75:10, 76:2		additional [3] - 31:19,	29:18, 30:8
45:15, 45:21, 46:21,	_	9/15/2021 [1] - 11:9	35:16, 37:9	ago [1] - 24:7
47:1, 48:8, 48:10,	4	90 [1] - 25:4	address [10] - 7:8,	agree [4] - 45:4,
48:15, 48:17, 48:23,	1 m 12:10 20:0	970 [1] - 2:8	34:10, 34:17, 34:18,	46:14, 56:9, 56:14
49:14, 56:20, 57:4,	4 [3] - 13:10, 22:2,	973 [1] - 1:24	41:20, 46:10, 50:24,	agreed [1] - 9:2
58:4, 58:21, 59:11,	61:1	9:00 [1] - 67:16	52:12, 52:17, 56:4	agreeing [1] - 40:18
59:14	4/18/2022 [1] - 12:20	9:30 [3] - 67:16, 67:19,	addressing [1] - 40:14	agreemg [1] - 40.18 agreement [9] - 18:19,
150 [2] - 2:5, 2:12	40 [1] - 42:3	68:18	adequate [3] - 47:3,	18:24, 18:25, 19:10,
15th [3] - 2:16, 19:20,	40053 [1] - 12:13		47:9, 65:9	19:19, 44:6, 46:4,
74:25	4019 [1] - 12:19	Α	adequately [1] - 47:21	48:5, 56:5
19 [1] - 13:7	40190 [1] - 12:21		adjust [2] - 20:21,	· ·
1:15 [1] - 61:21	40227 [1] - 13:7	a.m [4] - 1:11, 4:3,	64:15	agrees [1] - 40:9
1:19 [1] - 71:12	40514 [1] - 14:20	39:23	adjustment [1] - 67:19	ahead [1] - 51:10
1:25 [1] - 75:13	40683 [1] - 15:3	abide [1] - 74:12	adjustments [2] -	aided [1] - 1:25
1:27 [1] - 76:5	41634 [1] - 13:10	ability [3] - 37:14,	62:4, 67:1	Air [10] - 22:14, 24:9,
	41973 [1] - 13:24	,,	Administration [10] -	24:13, 32:13, 34:4,

45:19, 45:20, 58:15,
58:18, 64:4
air [21] - 34:6, 43:22,
44:15, 53:5, 53:10,
53:25, 54:10, 54:12,
54:15, 54:17, 54:20,
54:23, 55:1, 55:3,
55:14, 56:4, 62:20,
62:24, 63:1, 63:7,
63:10
al [4] - 1:3, 1:7, 4:11
ALEX [1] - 2:8
Alex [1] - 5:9
all-day [1] - 8:5
Alliance [2] - 3:8, 3:13
Allied [1] - 3:6
allocating [1] - 47:18
allocation [1] - 22:1
allocations [3] -
46:20, 57:16, 70:12
allotted [2] - 7:7,
68:19
allotting [1] - 68:14
allow [1] - 69:18
allowed [1] - 72:16
allows [2] - 29:8,
74:22
almost [1] - 22:12
alone [1] - 14:19
alternative [3] - 40:21,
41:2, 41:15
alternatives [9] -
41:10, 44:1, 54:16,
55:20, 55:23, 55:25,
56:10, 62:12, 64:1
Amci [1] - 38:19
amended [2] - 9:11,
16:20
Americas [2] - 1:16,
3:16
Amici [11] - 4:22, 5:1.
- 1 7 7 7
5:23, 9:25, 21:18,
21:22, 24:11, 24:13,
64:6, 68:8, 71:10
Amicus [4] - 3:6, 3:17,
3:20, 3:23
amount [3] - 20:7,
57:12, 61:1
AMY [1] - 2:16
Amy [2] - 5:15, 5:16
analysis [2] - 46:7,
56:11
ANDREW [1] - 3:15
answer [14] - 20:1,
28:18, 28:19, 28:21,
28:22, 28:24, 28:25,
42:16, 49:4, 49:15,
58:8, 65:1, 70:3
anticipated [1] - 19:23
anticipation [1] -

```
17:22
anyway [2] - 41:11,
 41:17
Anyway [1] - 6:25
apparent [1] - 35:18
appear [1] - 15:8
appearing [1] - 6:21
appendices [1] - 46:9
application [2] -
 29:13, 29:19
applies [1] - 23:12
apply [1] - 29:11
appreciate [17] - 6:18,
 10:24, 21:14, 26:1,
 36:25, 39:24, 54:14,
 58:11, 66:10, 67:21,
 71:14, 71:16, 74:13,
 74:14, 75:2, 75:3,
 75:10
appreciated [2] - 25:1,
 57:13
approach [2] - 35:9,
 40:2
approaching [1] -
 36:4
appropriate [4] -
 30:13, 39:13, 47:12,
 75:17
appropriately [2] -
 49:8, 50:2
approval [2] - 11:11,
 48:3
approved [1] - 41:6
approximate [1] -
 16:23
April [10] - 17:19,
 19:8, 22:2, 32:7,
 60:25, 71:23, 72:3,
 74:16, 75:5, 75:10
arbitrariness [1] -
 44:16
arbitrary [1] - 23:7
arbitrators [1] - 9:1
area [3] - 16:2, 26:19,
 44:5
areas [8] - 23:12,
 34:24, 35:1, 51:25,
 53:6, 55:10, 55:12,
 55:24
argue [3] - 27:11,
 38:9, 41:19
argued [2] - 31:24,
 44:10
arguing [2] - 41:14,
 49:24
argument [43] - 7:10,
 20:7, 20:14, 20:17,
 21:16, 23:5, 24:3,
 24:10, 24:21, 25:4,
 25:5, 25:23, 27:24,
```

```
28:7, 28:8, 29:10,
 30:4, 32:24, 33:7,
 34:2, 34:5, 34:19,
 35:2, 37:7, 38:16,
 39:4, 40:13, 41:4,
 41:5, 41:14, 43:10,
 43:21, 43:24, 48:9,
 49:10, 50:18, 60:10,
 60:21, 62:11, 68:19,
 71:4, 73:22, 76:2
arguments [14] -
 22:18, 25:24, 26:4,
 26:11, 27:15, 31:11,
 31:14, 35:22, 38:12,
 38:20, 44:4, 50:3,
 51:21, 69:9
arrange [1] - 11:16
aspects [1] - 43:16
assess [1] - 74:22
assessment [1] - 46:8
Assessments [1] -
 11:11
assistant [1] - 7:22
associated [2] - 21:8,
 73:20
associates [1] - 72:20
Association [1] - 3:10
assume [3] - 28:25,
 46:14, 48:8
assuming [10] - 18:20,
 19:8, 19:18, 50:23,
 51:4, 51:7, 58:15,
 60:9, 64:5, 68:16
assumption [2] -
 51:15, 61:19
attached [1] - 12:16
attachment [3] -
 12:17, 13:4, 15:1
attachments [2] -
 12:2, 12:7
attend [1] - 12:9
attended [1] - 8:22
attending [2] - 6:18,
 6:21
attention [4] - 11:8,
 20:17, 73:13, 73:23
ATTORNEY'S [3] -
 2:3, 2:7, 2:11
attornevs [1] - 74:15
August [10] - 13:10,
 13:11, 13:18, 13:23,
 13:25, 14:1, 14:3,
 14:6, 14:10, 15:7
AUSA [1] - 36:9
Authority [1] - 2:18
automatic [1] - 40:20
automatically [3] -
 30:2, 40:19, 41:12
available [1] - 37:3
Avenue [5] - 1:16,
```

aware [4] - 43:22, 47:2, 65:17, 72:20 В balance [1] - 58:10 **ball** [1] - 45:1 **ballpark** [1] - 57:7 basic [2] - 13:20, 26:20 basis [2] - 24:21, 26:25 bathroom [1] - 25:18 bear [1] - 9:5 beg [1] - 71:1 begin [1] - 62:11 beginning [1] - 18:23 behalf [8] - 4:12, 5:12, 5:23, 10:6, 20:6, 32:8, 48:14, 73:14 behave [1] - 73:4 behind [2] - 4:18, 5:5 **believes** [1] - 54:22 bells [1] - 65:16 belong [1] - 72:8 bench [1] - 39:17 benefit [2] - 23:4, 26:18 BERGEN [1] - 3:21 Bergen [3] - 3:8, 3:22, 3:23 **best** [10] - 7:9, 9:17, 17:25, 24:25, 25:3, 25:5, 50:19, 60:23, 74:17, 75:8 better [2] - 35:3, 52:11 Better [1] - 3:10 between [4] - 11:24, 32:14, 61:6, 71:22 beyond [3] - 19:17, 33:4, 64:13 big [2] - 27:5, 62:22 bigger [2] - 27:11, 63:11 BII [1] - 3:11 Bike [4] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:11, 3:13 Bill [1] - 45:5 birthday [1] - 75:3 bit [3] - 41:25, 51:19, 65:8 block [2] - 48:9, 48:17 blocks [2] - 75:15, 75:16 BlueWaveNJ [1] -3:11 Board [1] - 17:7 **board** [5] - 17:9,

47:19, 65:17, 65:19,

2:16, 3:5, 3:16, 3:19

75:4 boards [2] - 69:10, 69:13 boat [1] - 66:6 borders [1] - 71:3 bother [1] - 72:1 bottle [1] - 66:14 **bounds** [1] - 9:14 boxes [1] - 71:24 break [15] - 25:17, 25:18, 26:20, 34:1, 35:13, 35:23, 37:22, 38:15, 39:15, 60:2, 60:12, 62:25, 64:1, 64:13, 72:18 breaths [1] - 33:20 brief [12] - 22:7, 22:19, 24:24, 26:4, 31:20, 34:22, 38:9, 39:5, 39:6, 43:11, 49:11, 49:15 briefly [1] - 32:11 briefs [4] - 9:10, 26:7, 31:12, 38:4 bright [1] - 4:23 bring [2] - 32:6, 70:5 Broad [1] - 2:8 **broad** [2] - 28:4, 61:9 broadcasting [1] -72:12 broken [1] - 36:6 Bruce [1] - 38:23 bucket [9] - 26:22, 29:3, 30:11, 30:17, 30:21, 43:16, 43:17, 45:9, 45:10 buckets [15] - 26:21, 31:13, 31:17, 42:10, 42:13, 42:15, 42:19, 43:4, 43:5, 43:21, 45:12, 51:20, 52:1, 52:5, 52:17 bulk [13] - 39:4, 43:10, 43:24, 50:17, 52:19, 53:22, 53:25, 54:4, 54:8, 54:12, 54:15, 62:11, 62:16 bullet [1] - 15:22 **bunch** [5] - 7:5, 8:24, 47:25, 60:24, 61:13 buried [1] - 25:3 bus [3] - 14:5, 14:8, 14:10 business [2] - 9:8, 75:7 **BY** [12] - 1:15, 1:16, 1:19, 2:4, 2:8, 2:12, 2:15, 2:16, 3:4, 3:15, 3:18, 3:22

С
calculate [1] - 75:16
calm [1] - 72:2
candid [1] - 71:2
candidly [8] - 7:6,
25:1, 27:19, 57:23,
63:21, 65:3, 65:7, 67:10
candor[1] - 71:15
cannot [2] - 18:25,
75:12
capable [3] - 26:11,
35:21, 69:11
capaciousness [1] -
44:17 capricious [1] - 23:7
carbon [1] - 11:13
care [6] - 7:5, 61:24,
71:24, 72:1, 73:21,
74:11
cart [1] - 35:17
carve [2] - 44:7, 53:15
carved [2] - 43:13, 53:18
carving [1] - 54:11
case [9] - 4:8, 21:8,
23:13, 25:24, 31:14,
33:11, 47:13, 72:23,
73:23
cases [3] - 26:20,
35:9, 53:3 CASSIDY [3] - 2:16,
5:20, 5:21
Cassidy [2] - 5:15,
5:17
categories [1] - 42:19
category [1] - 59:18
causing [1] - 35:17
cautious [2] - 47:14, 65:8
cc [1] - 12:7
CCR [1] - 76:12
celebrating [1] - 75:24
cell [2] - 72:17, 72:18
Cerrano [1] - 13:13
certain [13] - 8:3, 8:4,
27:23, 32:18, 36:3, 44:18, 51:1, 51:12,
51:21, 53:24, 55:12,
64:8, 69:10
certainly [4] - 21:11,
42:14, 67:15, 67:16
CERTIFICATE [1] -
76:7
certified [1] - 13:17 certify [1] - 76:9
challenges [1] - 52:7
chambers [2] - 7:19,
71:5

chance [1] - 64:9 change [2] - 20:19, 38:7 charge [2] - 18:3, 48:1 chart [1] - 65:10 chat [1] - 39:17 check [2] - 25:17, 72:19 CHERTOK [49] - 2:15, 5:14, 5:17, 8:11, 8:13, 8:17, 8:20, 10:9, 17:1, 17:5, 17:7, 17:9, 17:12, 17:15, 17:22, 18:4, 18:25, 19:3, 19:22, 20:3, 21:11, 34:22, 37:21, 38:1, 38:6, 38:11, 38:14, 46:16, 47:5, 47:10, 47:13, 47:16, 47:23, 48:23, 48:25, 50:15, 56:14, 56:25, 57:4, 59:11, 60:13, 60:16, 60:18, 60:20, 60:23, 67:15, 70:23, 74:6, 75:23 Chertok [21] - 5:12, 8:12, 10:8, 16:13, 16:21, 19:18, 34:21, 35:21, 35:22, 37:20, 46:14, 47:7, 48:20, 50:14, 56:13, 56:24, 59:10, 60:8, 60:17, 67:8, 70:22 child [1] - 60:12 child's [1] - 75:3 choice [3] - 24:25, 49:11, 49:13 choose [2] - 54:6, choosing [1] - 41:16 chosen [2] - 53:6, 55:23 circumstances [1] -38:8 **CIT** [1] - 27:19 citizen [1] - 3:12 Civil [1] - 4:10 CIVIL [1] - 1:3 claim [5] - 33:3, 34:4, 40:11, 45:17, 45:21 claims [12] - 22:12, 22:18, 32:12, 32:14, 32:19, 33:4, 34:4, 34:5, 34:7, 34:10, 34:20, 37:22 clarification [1] -46:23 class [1] - 8:23 Clean [11] - 3:6, 22:14, 24:9, 24:13, 32:13,

34:4, 45:18, 45:20, 58:15, 58:18, 64:4 clear [3] - 27:7, 30:22, 74:21 CLERK [4] - 11:22, 12:4, 15:1, 15:13 clerk [2] - 7:22, 11:7 clerk's [1] - 70:13 client [7] - 18:2, 20:6, 54:21, 67:11, 72:9 client's [1] - 54:20 clients [3] - 73:2, 73:14, 74:22 clock [1] - 59:24 **close** [1] - 17:12 clue [1] - 27:1 Coalition [1] - 3:7 cocktail [1] - 68:4 **cocktails** [1] - 68:5 codefendants' [1] -57:15 cogitate [1] - 56:17 coherent [2] - 11:5, 35:1 colleague [2] - 4:14, 6:5 colleagues [1] - 6:17 college [1] - 68:16 colloquy [8] - 25:14, 25:22, 26:8, 31:16, 34:24, 48:25, 62:16, 64:23 comfortable [4] -9:18, 24:1, 24:5, 35:7 coming [4] - 31:16, 61:13, 67:5, 67:9 **commence** [1] - 64:14 Commencing [1] -1:11 comment [5] - 24:7, 26:17, 28:22, 46:17, 76:2 commentary [1] - 51:8 **commented** [1] - 7:25 comments [12] -13:14, 15:6, 15:9, 15:14, 15:16, 54:8, 57:20, 58:3, 58:8, 61:16, 68:23, 70:12 commingled [1] - 54:7 commitment [2] -20:5, 65:25 **committed** [1] - 35:8 communicate [1] -18:21 communicated [1] communication [1] -

communities [2] -44:15, 44:18 company [3] - 14:5, 14:8. 59:1 complaint [1] - 9:11 complete [1] - 44:6 **Complete** [1] - 3:7 completed [3] - 17:1, 17:4, 19:1 completely [2] - 33:8, complex [1] - 35:9 complexity [1] - 23:3 compliance [3] -36:12, 51:13, 52:2 compliment [1] -22:25 compute [1] - 33:13 computer [2] - 1:25, 72:4 computer-aided [1] -1:25 concentrate [2] -62:13, 73:15 concerned [2] - 11:18, 12:3 concerns [16] - 9:9, 9:13, 10:2, 10:3, 10:5, 10:7, 10:9, 10:19, 10:21, 11:25, 21:16, 47:21, 54:20, 63:14, 68:6, 68:8 concise [2] - 9:12, 11:6 conclude [1] - 18:24 concluded [3] - 9:2, 18:25, 76:5 conclusion [1] - 29:9 concurrence [1] -34:23 conducted [1] - 13:13 **conference** [5] - 4:10, 8:22, 17:23, 19:23, 22:1 CONFERENCE [1] -1:5 **confined** [1] - 38:9 **confirming** [1] - 16:16 conform [1] - 9:11 Conformity [1] - 11:11 conjunction [1] -53:19 connect [4] - 30:25, 70:8, 70:9 connotes [1] - 27:9 consequence [1] -55:1

consequential [1] -

consider [4] - 25:23,

55:4

28:2, 44:14, 54:3 consideration [5] -44:8, 44:13, 47:22, 52:21, 60:25 considerations [3] -54:2, 54:23, 55:1 considering [1] - 17:7 consolidated [2] -9:12, 12:12 Consolidated [1] -1:20 consult [1] - 39:21 contain [1] - 15:8 context [2] - 27:15, 52:8 continue [2] - 66:2, 69:4 continuing [2] - 23:1, 74:16 **Continuing** [2] - 2:1, 3:1 control [2] - 18:1, 73:2 controversy [1] - 61:8 convenient [1] - 67:7 conversation [15] -7:19, 10:24, 20:21, 22:5, 23:22, 24:14, 25:11, 27:13, 29:13, 53:20, 54:5, 71:2, 72:10, 72:15, 72:22 conversations [4] -7:14, 16:3, 21:25, 71:14 cooperate [2] - 66:4, 74:19 cooperation [3] -19:7, 74:14, 75:12 cooperative [1] -75:11 copies [2] - 16:9, 70:1 copy [1] - 69:22 correct [10] - 6:9, 6:10, 14:25, 19:22, 22:15, 30:8, 56:20, 58:17, 59:8, 76:9 correctly [4] - 5:2, 22:7, 22:9, 29:12 couched [1] - 27:15 Council [1] - 3:12 counsel [11] - 5:5, 21:24, 24:19, 24:20, 26:3, 39:16, 44:7, 72:8, 72:21, 74:3, 74:5 COUNSEL [1] - 3:21 counsels [1] - 11:12 countenance [1] -4:24 **COUNTY** [2] - 3:21 County [4] - 3:7, 3:22,

9:16

3:23, 11:11 couple [4] - 56:17, 71:2, 71:11, 71:18 course [4] - 25:22, 42:2, 42:5, 66:11 Court [43] - 1:23, 4:2, 10:25, 18:6, 20:20, 22:17, 22:24, 22:25, 23:4, 23:11, 25:1, 25:9, 27:6, 31:1, 34:1, 34:8, 34:17, 35:3, 35:8, 36:1, 36:19, 36:25, 37:1, 37:13, 38:3, 38:8, 40:14, 46:10, 47:8, 48:12, 49:3, 49:16, 50:19, 50:21, 65:2, 65:17, 68:7, 69:21, 71:15, 72:1, 73:3, 73:5, 76:12 court [4] - 4:1, 21:6, 41:11, 65:22 COURT [216] - 1:1, 1:12, 4:4, 4:7, 4:12, 4:16, 4:18, 4:21, 4:23, 5:1, 5:4, 5:8, 5:11, 5:16, 5:18, 5:22, 6:1, 6:4, 6:6, 6:8, 6:11, 6:13, 6:16, 6:24, 7:3, 8:7, 8:9, 8:12, 8:15, 8:19, 8:21, 9:22, 9:24, 10:2, 10:4, 10:6, 10:8, 10:13, 10:22, 11:23, 12:5, 15:2, 15:20, 16:11, 17:3, 17:6, 17:8, 17:11, 17:13, 17:16, 18:1, 18:5, 18:12, 18:15, 18:19, 19:2, 19:6, 19:13, 19:18, 19:25, 20:4, 21:14, 21:18, 21:20, 21:22, 22:4, 22:11, 22:16, 22:21, 22:25, 23:19, 23:22, 26:1, 26:13, 26:17, 32:16, 32:22, 32:24, 33:1, 33:3, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17, 33:20, 33:23, 34:14, 34:21, 35:4, 37:9, 37:20, 37:25, 38:4, 38:7, 38:12, 38:17, 38:25, 39:3, 39:7, 39:11, 39:14, 39:24, 40:1, 40:4, 40:6, 40:12, 40:16, 40:23, 41:8, 41:22, 41:24, 42:3, 42:6, 42:9, 42:16, 42:23, 43:1, 43:3,

43:5, 43:7, 43:18, 44:25, 45:5, 45:18, 45:25, 46:2, 46:5, 47:6, 47:11, 47:15, 49:1, 49:6, 49:20, 49:23, 50:1, 50:10, 51:4, 51:7, 51:10, 52:5, 52:10, 52:18, 53:8, 53:14, 54:19, 55:15, 56:2, 56:6, 56:8, 56:13, 56:16, 56:22, 57:2, 57:6, 58:22, 58:25, 59:4, 59:7, 59:10, 59:12, 60:8, 60:15, 60:17, 67:3, 67:8, 67:18, 67:22, 67:25, 68:6, 69:3, 69:7, 69:16, 69:20, 70:7, 70:11, 70:24, 71:13, 74:1, 74:3, 74:5, 74:7, 74:9, 75:24, 76:7 Court's [3] - 36:18, 37:16, 49:5 courtesy [3] - 10:25, 71:15, 73:4 Courthouse [1] - 1:9 courtroom [8] - 62:2, 66:17, 69:12, 70:3, 74:9 COURTROOM [3] -4:9, 70:5, 70:9 cover [2] - 43:11, 45:17 covered [1] - 43:15 CRC [1] - 76:12 create [1] - 53:12 Creegan [3] - 9:16, 70:13, 71:23 criteria [2] - 53:6, 53:11 cross [3] - 31:21, 31:23, 33:4 cross-motion [1] -

33:4

cross-motions [2] -

31:21, 31:23

crossed [1] - 31:5

44:19, 44:21, 44:23, 46:12, 46:14, 46:24, 47:17, 47:24, 48:24, 50:14, 50:16, 50:23, 51:15, 51:22, 51:24, 57:17, 58:14, 58:18, 59:17, 59:22, 59:24, 60:19, 60:22, 60:24, 65:23, 66:13, 66:20, 68:10, 68:12, 68:16, 70:18, 70:20, 70:22, 72:17, 73:11, 73:12,

CRR [1] - 76:12 culled [6] - 9:11, 11:3, 12:17, 13:3, 14:12, 14:25 Cumming [14] - 5:6, 18:5, 19:7, 34:15, 34:23, 37:9, 46:2, 46:15, 49:8, 50:11, 56:2, 56:15, 56:22, 70:20 **CUMMING** [30] - 2:4, 5:7, 5:9, 10:7, 18:10, 18:13, 18:18, 19:11, 19:16, 21:21, 33:19, 33:22, 33:24, 34:16, 37:11, 37:19, 46:3, 46:6, 46:13, 49:2, 50:13, 56:3, 56:7, 56:9, 58:17, 67:2, 67:4, 70:21, 74:4, 75:22 Cumming's [1] - 18:2 Cummings [2] - 10:6, 33:17 **cumulative** [2] - 36:7, 58:2 curiosity [1] - 67:22 **Cynthia** [1] - 6:5 **CYNTHIA**[1] - 3:18

D

D.C [2] - 2:13, 67:5 data [1] - 46:9 date [11] - 11:25, 14:4, 14:6, 14:7, 14:9, 16:23, 17:11, 17:13, 19:12, 19:23, 21:13 **Date** [1] - 76:12 dated [8] - 11:9, 12:20, 12:21, 13:7, 13:10, 13:22, 14:21, 15:3 **DAVID**[1] - 3:22 days [3] - 60:5, 65:7 **DC**[1] - 2:5 de [1] - 13:13 deal [6] - 13:20, 21:5, 24:8, 24:9, 28:13, 48:4 dealing [1] - 52:7 deals [2] - 14:22, 22:13 dealt [1] - 14:4 debrief [1] - 62:4 decide [3] - 20:25, 32:2, 39:19 decided [3] - 20:18, 41:5, 47:1 decides [1] - 73:16

deciding [1] - 29:15 decision [14] - 17:2, 20:16, 26:24, 28:7, 30:21, 31:2, 32:19, 42:22, 47:8, 48:22, 51:8, 51:19, 52:14, 54:22 decision-making [5] -28:7, 30:21, 47:8, 51:8, 54:22 decisions [2] - 23:9, 27:6 deep[1] - 33:20 **Defendant** [2] - 1:8, 2:17 defendant [17] - 10:6, 10:19, 21:22, 24:12, 24:13, 34:12, 38:21, 38:22, 48:21, 50:4, 57:14, 58:3, 58:4, 68:23, 74:7 defendant's [3] - 5:23, 57:21, 63:16 Defendants [3] - 2:6, 2:9, 2:13 defendants [5] -21:20, 24:12, 31:20, 34:11, 49:25 defended [1] - 48:22 **defending** [1] - 36:9 defense [2] - 28:9, 31:24 **Defense** [2] - 3:17, 3:20 defer[1] - 34:9 **define** [1] - 35:25 defining [1] - 36:1 definitely [1] - 51:6 degree [6] - 21:24, 22:16, 24:1, 24:4, 25:7, 30:14 delighted [1] - 69:6 demonstrative [1] -69:20 denominated [1] -16:17 dense [2] - 23:3, 23:12 depart [1] - 30:19 departed [1] - 30:19 DEPARTMENT[1] -1:6 **Department** [1] - 4:11 deputy [1] - 36:10 **DEPUTY** [3] - 4:9, 70:5, 70:9 describe [1] - 26:10 described [1] - 61:22

desk [1] - 10:15

desks [1] - 10:15

dessert [1] - 68:3

detail [1] - 63:7 determination [2] -19:3, 31:5 determine [1] - 72:16 detract [2] - 28:3, 28:12 dialectically [1] - 73:1 DiBella [2] - 1:22, 76:12 difference [1] - 45:23 different [13] - 8:24, 8:25, 20:5, 29:12, 35:14, 52:13, 53:6, 53:11, 55:13, 55:20, 56:10, 73:8 dignity [1] - 73:4 dinner [5] - 6:17, 65:18, 67:23, 67:24, 75:4 directly [1] - 65:22 disagree [2] - 37:11, 52:10 discernible [3] -30:24, 31:1, 31:7 discipline [1] - 72:25 disclose [1] - 24:3 disclosure [1] - 71:4 discreet [3] - 55:21, 56:11, 59:12 discuss [3] - 16:13, 37:6, 53:22 discussing [3] -10:10, 52:3, 63:6 discussion [3] -40:25, 62:16, 63:5 displayed [1] - 69:10 displays [1] - 69:13 distance [1] - 26:16 distinct [2] - 34:5, 34:6 distinguished [1] -30:20 distinguishes [1] -52:20 distraction [1] - 73:15 distress [1] - 66:16 distributed [1] - 68:20 distributions [1] -50:6 District [1] - 4:2 **DISTRICT** [2] - 1:1, 1:1 diverted [2] - 20:17, 73:23 document [14] - 11:9, 11:24, 11:25, 12:1, 12:6, 12:11, 12:17, 12:20, 13:10, 14:6, 15:3, 15:8, 69:23 documents [7] - 9:11, 9:19, 11:14, 12:9,

15:25, 49:9, 65:6 **DOJ** [3] - 2:3, 2:11, 48:14 **DOJ-ENRD** [2] - 2:3, 2:11 done [13] - 17:6, 19:8, 19:15, 30:1, 45:14, 54:24, 56:1, 62:7, 62:21, 64:12, 64:16, 67:20, 75:14 door[1] - 66:5 **DOT**[1] - 12:14 dots [2] - 30:25 dotted [1] - 31:5 double [1] - 41:4 double-edged [1] -41:4 doubt [1] - 27:17 down [14] - 7:23, 10:23, 11:19, 20:3, 26:3, 26:20, 34:1, 34:7, 34:13, 36:6, 37:22, 38:15, 57:24, 66:6 Dr [1] - 13:13 draft [2] - 12:24, 18:24 drawers [1] - 10:15 drawing [1] - 31:2 drink [1] - 25:18 **drive** [1] - 70:8 **due** [3] - 8:7, 8:9, 42:11 during [1] - 73:22 Dutchess [1] - 11:11

Ε

e-mail [6] - 9:16, 12:13, 14:20, 14:23, 15:21, 21:25 **EA** [5] - 12:24, 13:2, 15:6, 15:7, 29:17 eager [3] - 20:13, 20:18, 21:6 earliest [1] - 19:23 early [2] - 19:8, 67:7 earnest [1] - 20:23 ears [2] - 7:17, 28:17 earth [1] - 75:6 easel [1] - 16:11 easier [1] - 74:20 Easter [1] - 75:25 easy [2] - 55:4, 65:23 eat [4] - 61:2, 61:23, 68:3, 76:1 Ecopoetry.org [1] -3:8 edged[1] - 41:4 educate [4] - 22:17, 22:24, 52:15, 60:22

effort [1] - 75:11 egos [2] - 9:3, 9:4 eight [1] - 62:1 Eisenhower [1] - 1:19 either [6] - 9:14, 15:18, 15:19, 38:21, 40:19, 71:23 **EJ**[1] - 46:17 elements [2] - 41:3, 43:20 elongate [1] - 66:3 embarrass [1] - 72:15 emergency [2] -20:12, 21:5 eminence [1] - 26:15 **Employees** [1] - 3:6 **Empower** [1] - 3:6 enclosed [1] - 12:24 end [14] - 17:10, 17:12, 18:9, 36:8, 41:7, 43:13, 45:15, 61:25, 62:9, 68:10, 71:8, 75:11, 75:13, 75:17 engage [1] - 31:15 enlighten [1] - 18:6 ENRD [2] - 2:3, 2:11 ensure [1] - 66:22 entertain [1] - 20:12 entities [1] - 73:20 entitled [1] - 76:10 Environment [1] -3:12 environment [1] -45:23 environmental [33] -32:21, 43:15, 43:24, 44:7, 44:15, 44:17, 45:4, 46:7, 46:8, 52:20, 53:4, 53:10, 53:15, 53:17, 53:20, 54:1, 54:10, 54:12, 54:13, 54:15, 54:17, 54:20, 54:23, 55:2, 55:3, 55:12, 55:16, 56:4, 62:20, 62:24, 63:2, 63:7, 63:11 Environmental [4] -3:12, 3:13, 3:17, 3:20 **EPA**[1] - 12:14 equal [6] - 24:19, 24:22, 35:24, 36:5,

educated [2] - 16:6,

education [2] - 23:1,

effect [2] - 36:7, 58:3

effective [1] - 35:2

effects [1] - 12:16

52:16

35:3

48:15, 50:5 equally [1] - 68:20 equation [2] - 33:6, 58:10 equitable [1] - 47:18 eraser [1] - 10:16 error [2] - 10:11, 30:15 ESQ [9] - 2:4, 2:8, 2:12, 2:15, 2:16, 3:4, 3:15, 3:18, 3:22 **ESQUIRE** [3] - 1:15, 1:16, 1:19 estimate [2] - 17:25, 19:19 estimated [1] - 17:2 **ESTIS**[1] - 3:18 et [4] - 1:3, 1:7, 4:10, 4:11 etc [6] - 9:1, 11:12, 17:25, 30:13, 61:23, 73:8 **evaluation** [1] - 24:20 evaluations [1] - 19:4 evening [1] - 65:19 event [2] - 10:11, 41:13 events [1] - 16:17 evidence [9] - 26:23, 26:25, 27:4, 27:8, 27:17, 28:2, 29:19, 29:24, 50:24 ex [1] - 16:3 exactly [5] - 17:15, 18:4, 30:15, 38:11, 38:14 Exactly [1] - 38:6 example [3] - 27:25, 46:21, 53:10 **exceeded** [1] - 9:13 except [2] - 22:12, 62:11 exception [1] - 43:12 excerpts [1] - 15:16 **executive** [1] - 44:14 exist [1] - 36:25 exists [1] - 13:18 expect [1] - 25:21 expectation [1] - 22:7 expectations [1] -49:7 experience [4] -24:18, 26:2, 26:18, 52:6 experienced [2] -73:5, 73:8 explain [1] - 45:24 explained [1] - 53:19 explaining [3] - 33:14, 45:4, 45:7

29:18, 53:3 extended [1] - 48:9 extent [3] - 34:17, 37:12, 49:2

F face [1] - 66:16 facility [1] - 14:11 fact [13] - 15:5, 16:18, 22:11, 28:10, 29:13, 30:3, 40:17, 50:6, 51:2, 53:21, 54:2, 54:21, 54:24 fact-finding [1] - 30:3 factor [1] - 52:21 facts [5] - 28:2, 28:11, 45:5, 45:6, 51:1 fair [2] - 21:3, 47:18 fairly [2] - 34:6, 46:9 faith [1] - 24:20 Faith [1] - 3:7 fall [1] - 59:18 falls [1] - 42:13 families [1] - 9:4 family [1] - 75:3 Family [1] - 3:13 far [2] - 18:14, 32:6 fashion [1] - 37:2 faster [2] - 21:9, 66:11 fatigue [1] - 62:8 favor [1] - 27:22 fear [1] - 47:19 February/early [1] -16:23 FEDERAL [1] - 76:7 Federal [12] - 1:9, 11:10, 12:22, 13:3, 15:4, 15:21, 17:17, 17:24, 18:16, 18:21, 28:1, 48:14 feed [1] - 9:4 feet [2] - 35:7, 36:16 few [4] - 16:16, 24:7, 33:20, 62:25 FHWA [2] - 19:3, 19:13 FHWA's [1] - 44:7 Fifteen [4] - 56:21, 57:2, 58:23, 59:7 fifth [1] - 30:21 figure [13] - 12:8, 30:5, 31:22, 33:5, 53:17, 57:23, 59:25, 60:3, 62:4, 67:20, 68:19, 74:23, 75:16 figured [1] - 27:2 figuring [1] - 26:11 filed [1] - 9:10

final [7] - 15:6, 31:4,

32:18, 32:21, 41:6, 45:10, 71:11 finalize [1] - 48:6 finalizing [1] - 32:20 finally [1] - 41:5 findings [5] - 26:24, 27:25, 28:12, 50:25, 51:2 fine [9] - 8:19, 42:23, 43:19, 45:5, 48:1, 49:14, 56:21, 57:11, 72:19 finish [3] - 19:13, 64:7, 65:7 finished [2] - 17:5, 64:17 finishes [1] - 18:8 firm [1] - 72:25 first [12] - 7:11, 9:8, 14:13, 26:22, 29:23, 31:23, 31:25, 33:11, 35:12, 48:11, 64:2, 69:17 fits [1] - 33:5 five [19] - 14:17, 26:20, 31:17, 42:13, 42:19, 43:20, 49:18, 49:22, 50:9, 50:11, 52:17, 57:7, 57:10, 58:6, 58:12, 58:16, 59:14, 63:9, 75:13 flash [1] - 70:8 flashing [2] - 66:6. 67:13 flexibility [2] - 61:2, 61:13 flip [1] - 20:13 flipping [3] - 19:21, 20:9, 20:24 Floor [4] - 2:12, 2:16, 3:19, 3:22 flow [4] - 54:21, 54:23, 55:5, 55:14 focus [4] - 12:25, 25:5, 52:22, 63:17 focused [4] - 37:14, 54:4, 72:3, 73:13 focusing [1] - 36:25 folks [10] - 7:12, 8:25, 30:22, 38:18, 60:1, 60:24, 65:23, 66:20, 67:5, 71:13 follow [3] - 11:23, 24:24, 30:18 following [6] - 9:3, 13:19, 14:20, 39:5, 44:12, 52:25 FONSI [3] - 29:17, 32:18, 32:21

food [1] - 8:21

explanation [3] - 23:9,

FOR [1] - 1:1 foregoing [1] - 76:9 forget [1] - 73:17 forgive [1] - 13:8 form [2] - 11:20, 72:7 formula [1] - 27:9 formulation [1] -52:11 forward [3] - 25:22, 63:6, 74:16 foul [1] - 45:1 four [2] - 14:17, 54:16 fourth [1] - 30:17 frame [2] - 17:20, 31:10 frames [2] - 36:24, 37:3 framework [2] - 52:13, 62:16 Francesca [2] - 1:22, 76:12 francesca_dibella@ njd.uscourts.gov [1] - 1:23 Frank [1] - 1:9

FRANKEL [1] - 3:15 free [2] - 28:19, 31:14 Friday [5] - 45:5, 64:9, 64:14, 66:2, 75:25 Friends [1] - 3:11 front [6] - 7:23, 24:2, 42:15, 51:16, 52:3, 72:2

fulfill [1] - 49:7 full [4] - 7:6, 30:9, 49:14, 65:7 fully [3] - 21:7, 72:20, 74:16

fruitful [1] - 71:14

fun [1] - 7:1 **Fund** [3] - 3:9, 3:17, 3:20

G

gallery [2] - 7:21,
72:10
game [1] - 29:2
Gang [1] - 45:5
Gas [1] - 3:8
gee [1] - 31:8
general [1] - 62:19
generally [1] - 26:19
generations [1] - 73:9
generous [2] - 41:24,
64:20
genie [1] - 66:13
gentlemen [1] - 16:7
germane [1] - 15:16
given [7] - 16:8, 20:6,

24:7, 36:24, 54:22, 60:24, 63:21 GORDON [2] - 1:12, 4:2 Gordon [2] - 27:3, 29:20 Gottheimer [1] - 14:2 Government [1] - 5:10 government [2] -36:10, 74:3 Governor [1] - 15:23 graduate [2] - 68:17 grant [1] - 53:23 granted [1] - 39:10 great [3] - 25:10, 38:13, 39:19 greater [1] - 63:7 greatly [2] - 25:1, 71:17 green [1] - 74:24 Green [1] - 3:10 GREGORY [1] - 2:4 grey [1] - 26:15 gritty [1] - 65:4 guess [1] - 11:14

Н

Hackensack [2] - 3:9, 3:23 half [8] - 23:16, 25:2, 25:15, 38:16, 44:3, 49:13, 64:17, 71:7 **hammering** [1] - 58:3 hand [3] - 45:2, 68:25, 69:7 handful [1] - 37:23 handheld [1] - 72:4 happy [10] - 22:22, 33:23, 34:9, 48:4, 49:15, 52:15, 57:21, 58:5, 63:25, 67:6 hard [1] - 23:8 harmless [1] - 30:14 head [1] - 46:25 headache [2] - 65:13, 65:15 heads [2] - 25:7, 25:10 heads-up [2] - 25:7, 25:10 Health [1] - 3:6 hear [3] - 32:1, 56:25, 64:6 heard [2] - 9:5, 47:21 hearing [8] - 13:23, 13:24, 14:2, 14:4, 14:7, 14:10, 16:22, 30:12 hearings [4] - 16:23,

17:1, 17:4, 17:5

heaven [1] - 75:6 heavy [1] - 46:9 held [1] - 4:1 helicopter[1] - 66:6 help [12] - 11:7, 31:22, 33:6, 35:24, 36:1, 37:1, 38:3, 42:9, 69:7, 75:1 helpful [6] - 9:7, 34:8, 37:16, 46:6, 46:10, high [1] - 58:12 highest [2] - 65:15, 66:16 Highway [10] - 11:10, 12:22, 13:4, 15:4, 15:21, 17:18, 18:16, 18:21, 28:1, 48:15 Hoboken [1] - 3:13 hold [1] - 30:12 holiday [2] - 75:25, 76:3 Honor [130] - 4:5, 4:14, 5:3, 5:7, 5:14, 5:21, 5:25, 6:12, 7:24, 8:11, 9:21, 9:23, 10:7, 10:20, 10:21, 18:11, 19:11,

19:16, 21:11, 21:17,

21:19, 21:21, 22:3,

22:10, 22:15, 22:20, 23:2, 23:6, 23:14, 23:16, 25:21, 26:6, 26:14, 32:10, 32:18, 32:25, 33:8, 33:24, 34:3, 34:16, 34:23, 35:6, 35:8, 35:24, 36:7, 36:22, 36:23, 37:5, 37:8, 37:11, 39:4, 39:12, 39:25, 40:2, 40:5, 40:9, 40:10, 41:3, 42:5, 42:14, 42:18, 42:25, 43:6, 43:22, 44:5, 44:9, 45:8, 45:12, 46:1, 46:16, 47:10, 47:16, 48:23, 48:25, 49:2, 49:9, 49:19, 49:21, 50:8, 50:13, 51:3, 51:6, 51:9, 51:11, 51:17, 52:9, 52:16, 52:24, 53:2, 54:15, 55:9, 55:21, 57:1, 57:10, 58:11, 58:20, 58:23, 59:2, 59:9, 59:16, 59:21, 60:6, 60:14, 65:14, 66:9, 66:15, 67:2, 67:4, 67:21, 67:24, 68:5, 68:9, 69:2,

69:9, 69:14, 69:19, 70:16, 70:19, 70:23, 73:25, 74:2, 74:4, 74:6, 74:8, 75:20, 75:21, 75:22, 75:23 Honor's [6] - 8:1, 32:12. 35:19. 36:17. 43:17. 57:11 HONORABLE [1] -1:12 Honorable [1] - 4:1 honored [1] - 45:11 hope [4] - 27:15, 49:22, 60:8, 71:6 hopeful [1] - 7:17 hopefully [4] - 18:9, 36:1, 64:7, 75:15 hoping [5] - 7:6, 11:5, 23:16, 61:7, 64:18 horse [1] - 35:17 hosting [1] - 6:17 hot [1] - 12:15 hotel [1] - 67:11 hour [8] - 23:16, 25:2, 34:25, 38:16, 44:3, 64:17, 68:4, 71:7 hours [12] - 23:18, 23:23, 23:24, 25:14, 25:15, 34:2, 61:7, 62:2, 68:19 Howard [4] - 5:9, 18:5, 59:5. 70:20 HOWARD [6] - 2:12, 58:23, 59:2, 59:6, 59:9, 59:16 Hudson [1] - 3:7 human [1] - 10:16

ICG [1] - 12:16 illustrative [1] - 15:4 impact [3] - 15:24, 32:21, 33:13 impacts [3] - 12:25, 19:4, 55:12 implementation [1] -32:20 importance [4] -26:23, 35:24, 54:1, 54:5 important [7] - 14:16, 25:24, 54:2, 65:18, 66:1, 73:16, 73:23 **impose** [1] - 66:22 in-person [1] - 4:9 inadequacy [1] - 55:1 inadvertence [1] -14:18 inadvertent [1] - 10:11 inadvertently [2] -9:14, 12:10 inappropriate [1] -73:21 Inc [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:11 incident [1] - 73:19 incidents [1] - 72:20 include [2] - 68:14, 68:21 included [3] - 12:10, 15:11, 45:8 includes [2] - 31:2, 48:12 including [3] - 43:24, 62:2, 71:10 incoming [1] - 13:2 inconvenience [1] -66:18 incorrectly [1] - 30:1 indeed [1] - 44:14 indicated [2] - 17:19, 17:23 individual [1] - 35:1 indulgence [1] - 71:1 inexplicable [1] - 23:9 **inferences** [1] - 31:2 inform [1] - 21:12 information [2] -17:25, 69:20 inquiries [1] - 37:16 inquiry [1] - 56:10 inside [1] - 73:12 Instagram [1] - 72:21 instances [1] - 14:18 instead [1] - 54:11 integrate [1] - 63:3 intend [3] - 26:9, 37:1, 52:16 intent [1] - 20:23 intention [1] - 74:21 interesting [2] - 8:24, 29:21 INTERN [1] - 16:10 intern [1] - 7:22 INTERNATIONAL [1] -1:12 interpretation [3] -29:20, 29:25, 30:8 interrelate [2] - 62:19 interrelated [1] - 63:24 intertwined [1] - 51:20 Intervenor [5] - 2:17, 21:22, 34:12, 38:22, intervenor [3] - 24:12, 48:21, 58:4

Intervenors [4] -

74:7

10:19, 68:23, 74:1,

introducing [2] - 14:7, 14:10 introduction [1] -62:14 invitation [1] - 6:19 involve [2] - 13:19, 43:16 involved [1] - 14:2 involves [1] - 43:21 involving [1] - 7:25 irrationality [1] - 44:16 Irving [1] - 39:5 Isles [1] - 3:8 issue [38] - 8:3, 20:8, 20:9, 20:23, 22:13, 24:9, 24:18, 24:19, 24:25, 25:3, 30:13, 31:21, 34:3, 35:10, 40:14, 41:13, 41:23, 43:23, 44:16, 46:3, 48:2, 48:4, 48:20, 49:19, 52:4, 52:20, 52:21, 53:4, 53:5, 53:17, 55:22, 57:2, 59:13, 61:22, 61:23, 71:22, 74:21 issues [47] - 8:4, 10:20, 22:8, 23:3, 23:6, 23:18, 24:9, 24:23, 24:25, 29:22, 30:11, 31:14, 34:10, 35:9, 35:11, 35:13, 35:14, 35:18, 36:3, 36:5, 36:19, 37:1, 37:23, 38:2, 42:21, 43:11, 43:14, 43:22, 43:23, 46:11, 47:18, 50:20, 51:12, 52:18, 52:19, 53:1, 53:21, 54:6, 54:16, 54:18, 59:17, 62:11, 62:17, 62:20, 62:23, 63:5, 63:12 item [3] - 11:3, 12:13, 13:7 items [3] - 12:24, 14:13, 69:11

63:7, 63:11 K Kind [1] - 5:17 KING [1] - 1:15 67:10 knows [1] - 23:6 itself [1] - 64:23 kvetch [1] - 58:7 J L

JC [1] - 3:9 **JERSEY** [2] - 1:1, 1:3 Jersey [29] - 1:10, 1:20, 2:9, 3:5, 3:6, 3:10, 3:12, 3:12, 3:13, 3:23, 4:10, 12:7, 14:4, 14:8, 14:10, 15:24, 43:12, 45:10, 48:8, 56:19,

job [5] - 20:13, 20:14, 28:14, 66:4, 74:17 **Joe** [1] - 45:5 **JOHN** [2] - 3:4, 3:4 Judge [10] - 1:12, 4:2, 4:19, 7:12, 8:3, 8:10, 8:13, 9:6, 27:3, 29:20 judges [1] - 8:25 judgment [3] - 31:21, 31:23, 33:5 judgments [1] - 9:3 judicial [1] - 7:22 July [2] - 12:21, 13:7 juncture [2] - 32:9, 50:19 June [5] - 12:23, 13:2, 19:15, 19:20, 74:25 justice [30] - 43:24, 44:8, 44:15, 44:17, 45:4, 45:23, 46:7, 52:20, 53:4, 53:10, 53:16, 53:18, 53:20, 54:1, 54:10, 54:12, 54:13, 54:15, 54:17, 54:21, 54:24, 55:2, 55:3, 55:16, 56:4, 62:21, 62:24, 63:2,

57:3, 57:9, 62:12,

Jersey's [1] - 15:23

63:21, 63:23, 66:7,

kind [11] - 6:19, 7:8, 9:1, 16:13, 23:3, 26:3, 33:11, 52:13, 62:15, 65:24, 75:9 Knauer [2] - 60:9, knowledge [1] - 9:17 KRAMER [1] - 3:15

label [1] - 52:22 ladies [1] - 16:7 laptop [1] - 70:8 large [3] - 12:11, 14:24, 22:11 largely [1] - 40:8 larger [1] - 63:11 last [11] - 16:12, 16:13, 16:22, 17:23,

19:23, 22:12, 22:13, 36:22, 36:23, 64:3, 71:18 lastly [2] - 14:9, 15:20 late [4] - 16:23, 19:8, 19:11, 19:18 latest [1] - 16:24 **Lauren** [1] - 4:15 **LAUREN** [1] - 1:16 Lautenberg [1] - 1:9 LAW [5] - 3:4, 11:22, 12:4, 15:1, 15:13 law [19] - 7:22, 16:2, 23:12, 26:19, 26:20, 27:8, 29:3, 29:4, 29:12, 29:13, 36:12, 36:14, 42:20, 45:13, 51:13, 52:2, 52:7, 68:17, 75:4 Law [1] - 65:18 lay [1] - 23:11 laying [1] - 23:18 lead [3] - 24:25, 25:3, 39:16 leads [1] - 72:23 lean [1] - 63:6 learned [1] - 8:23 learning [1] - 23:1 learns [1] - 10:18 least [1] - 13:13 leave [1] - 71:18 legal [9] - 7:22, 29:20, 29:21, 29:25, 30:5, 30:7, 42:12, 45:12, 50:21 legality [2] - 36:21,

length [1] - 27:22 lengthy [1] - 49:3 LEO [2] - 1:12, 4:2 less [4] - 23:16, 25:21, 65:7, 66:3 **letter** [7] - 11:9, 12:1, 12:5, 12:22, 13:2, 15:4, 15:11 **LEVIN**[1] - 3:15 Lexington [1] - 2:16 liberal [1] - 64:20 Liberty [1] - 3:12 light [2] - 21:1, 74:24 lights [2] - 66:6, 67:13 likelihood [2] - 19:14, 50:12 likely [2] - 50:20, 64:22 limit [1] - 33:25

44:11

Len [4] - 11:20, 12:3,

14:25, 15:12

18:13, 20:7, 34:7, 34:13 lines [4] - 20:10, 20:19, 20:22, 57:25 list [4] - 5:19, 6:6, 11:16, 14:24 listen [4] - 7:17, 52:12, 52:15, 63:25 **litigation** [3] - 8:23, 56:5 litigators [1] - 9:1 live [1] - 21:25 LLC [1] - 3:4 **LLP** [4] - 1:15, 1:16, 1:18, 3:15 Lobby [1] - 3:12 local [2] - 12:15, 72:11 logical [4] - 25:16, 52:6, 54:21, 55:6 **logically** [1] - 55:7 London [2] - 60:13, 60:18 look [15] - 18:23, 23:8, 25:22, 29:4, 29:14, 29:16, 29:17, 29:18, 29:23, 44:18, 65:12, 67:15, 69:5, 69:23, 74:16 looking [7] - 18:15, 27:18, 27:24, 31:4, 31:12, 57:18 looks [1] - 64:12 lose [1] - 40:20 lost [1] - 54:8 **loudly** [1] - 41:15 lunch [2] - 61:20, 64:1 lunchtime [1] - 63:22 Iv [1] - 75:14

М

magical [1] - 20:10 magnificent [1] -65:24 mail [6] - 9:16, 12:13, 14:20, 14:23, 15:21, 21:25 main [3] - 43:15, 43:21, 44:2 major [2] - 37:23, 43:11 majority [1] - 22:11 man [1] - 66:25 managed [1] - 13:13 managing [1] - 72:24 manipulated [1] -55:24 manner [3] - 26:9, 62:20, 74:21 map [4] - 28:15, 29:8,

35:23, 60:3 marathon [1] - 57:24 March [7] - 1:10, 16:23, 16:24, 17:12, 17:13, 18:9, 41:7 MARK [1] - 2:15 marries [1] - 54:10 **MARTIN** [1] - 2:4 massive [2] - 65:12, 65:14 MASTRO [94] - 1:15, 4:6, 4:14, 7:24, 8:8, 9:21, 9:23, 21:17, 22:3, 22:10, 22:15, 22:20, 22:23, 23:2, 23:21, 25:21, 26:6, 26:14, 32:10, 32:17, 32:23, 32:25, 33:2, 33:8, 33:10, 33:16, 35:6, 39:25, 40:2, 40:5, 40:7, 40:13, 40:17, 41:2, 41:9, 41:23, 42:2, 42:5, 42:7, 42:14, 42:18, 42:24, 43:2, 43:4, 43:6. 43:10. 43:20. 44:20, 44:22, 44:24, 45:3, 45:7, 45:20, 46:1, 49:19, 49:21, 49:24, 50:8, 50:22, 51:3, 51:6, 51:9, 51:11, 51:17, 51:23, 51:25, 52:9, 52:16, 52:24, 53:13, 54:14, 55:6, 55:16, 56:21, 57:10, 58:11, 58:20, 59:20, 59:23, 60:6, 65:14, 66:9, 66:15, 67:21, 67:24, 68:4, 69:2, 69:5, 69:9, 69:19, 70:16, 70:19, 73:25, 75:20 Mastro [34] - 4:12, 22:6, 23:19, 25:20, 26:1, 32:8, 32:22, 34:1, 34:9, 35:5, 37:12, 40:4, 43:7, 44:19, 46:25, 47:25, 49:17, 50:17, 51:10, 56:9, 56:20, 57:17, 58:18, 59:13, 62:14, 63:25, 65:12, 67:22, 69:1, 69:8, 69:17, 70:11, 70:18, 73:24 MATEEN [4] - 3:22, 5:3, 10:5, 39:1 Mateen [3] - 5:2, 10:4, 38:25 material [1] - 21:12

materials [1] - 69:10

limited [1] - 37:2

line [6] - 16:12, 16:19,

move [9] - 10:18, 21:9,

28:24, 29:2, 37:14,

31:23

35:15, 36:5, 37:22,

mathematics [1] -68:22 MATLOFF [13] - 1:19, 4:19, 4:22, 4:25, 10:1, 10:3, 21:19, 38:23, 68:9, 68:11, 68:13, 74:2, 75:21 Matloff [3] - 4:20, 4:21, 9:25 matter [5] - 21:15, 41:18, 45:13, 52:21, 76:10 matzoh [1] - 76:1 mayor [1] - 36:10 MDL [1] - 8:23 Meadowlands [1] mean [6] - 29:6, 29:7, 30:3, 30:9, 49:19 meaning [2] - 29:16, 30:7 means [12] - 27:18, 29:4, 29:13, 29:15, 29:22, 30:1, 30:2, 40:6, 40:19, 46:22, 60:4, 67:25 meant [1] - 31:9 mechanical [1] - 1:24 media [4] - 72:12, 73:17, 74:10, 74:11 mediators [1] - 8:25 meet [2] - 8:10, 28:1 meeting [15] - 12:17, 13:11, 13:14, 13:16, 13:18, 14:21, 16:12, 16:14, 16:22, 17:9, 40:8, 65:20, 75:4 meets [2] - 63:14, 63:16 memo [1] - 65:10 mention [1] - 52:3 mentioned [4] - 52:1, 52:5, 59:20, 59:21 mere [1] - 27:6 merits [2] - 53:1, 53:2 mesh [1] - 8:22 messages [2] - 25:18, 72:19 met [1] - 7:12 methodology [1] -12:15 Metropolitan [1] -2:18 MEYERS [2] - 4:5, 4:17 Meyers [3] - 4:15, 4:16, 69:3 mice [1] - 7:23 mid [3] - 19:8, 19:14, 19:15

mid-June [1] - 19:15 middish [1] - 19:14 might [11] - 4:18, 7:16, 24:5, 25:19, 34:7, 39:17, 61:21, 63:6, 66:7, 71:25, 72:13 mind [4] - 9:6, 40:9, 42:10, 71:3 minded [1] - 52:12 minus [1] - 54:12 minute [2] - 39:15, 53:9 minutes [46] - 24:7, 38:24, 39:2, 39:10, 39:12, 41:21, 41:25, 42:4, 45:15, 45:21, 46:21, 47:1, 48:8, 48:10, 48:11, 48:15, 48:18, 49:13, 49:14, 49:18, 49:22, 50:3, 50:9, 56:18, 56:20, 56:21, 57:2, 57:10, 58:4, 58:6, 58:9, 58:12, 58:16, 58:21, 58:23, 59:7, 59:11, 59:14, 60:1, 60:3, 60:5, 62:25, 63:9, 71:6, 75:13 miss [4] - 4:23, 65:20, 65:21, 68:4 missing [1] - 15:1 mistakes [1] - 10:17 mitigation [12] - 13:1, 14:22, 43:23, 54:16, 54:17, 54:19, 54:25, 55:5, 55:9, 55:10, 55:13, 63:10 model [1] - 74:14 modest [2] - 61:1, 61:13 moment [4] - 20:2, 42:17, 50:18, 73:10 moments [1] - 16:16 Monday [4] - 11:24, 61:6, 66:3, 75:15 monoxide [1] - 11:13 Montclair [1] - 3:5 month [2] - 17:10, 76:1 morning [23] - 4:4, 4:5, 4:6, 4:16, 4:17, 4:19, 4:21, 5:7, 5:8, 5:11, 5:14, 5:25, 6:1, 6:12, 9:8, 61:24, 63:20, 64:10, 64:14, 65:20, 66:21, 66:24 most [4] - 10:14, 25:24, 50:13, 60:10

motion [2] - 9:15, 33:4

motions [2] - 31:21,

56:19, 66:4, 67:7, 75:6 moving [2] - 28:23, 58:15 MR [187] - 4:6, 4:14, 5:3, 5:7, 5:9, 5:14, 5:17, 5:25, 6:3, 6:5, 6:7, 6:10, 6:14, 6:23, 7:2, 7:24, 8:8, 8:11, 8:13, 8:17, 8:20, 9:21, 9:23, 10:5, 10:7, 10:9, 10:20, 10:21, 17:1, 17:5, 17:7, 17:9, 17:12, 17:15, 17:22, 18:4, 18:10, 18:13, 18:18, 18:25, 19:3, 19:11, 19:16, 19:22, 20:3, 21:11, 21:17, 21:21, 22:3, 22:10, 22:15, 22:20, 22:23, 23:2, 23:21, 25:21, 26:6, 26:14, 32:10, 32:17, 32:23, 32:25, 33:2, 33:8, 33:10, 33:16, 33:19, 33:22, 33:24, 34:16, 34:22, 35:6, 37:11, 37:19, 37:21, 38:1, 38:6, 38:11, 38:14, 39:1, 39:4, 39:8, 39:12, 39:25, 40:2, 40:5, 40:7, 40:13, 40:17, 41:2, 41:9, 41:23, 42:2, 42:5, 42:7, 42:14, 42:18, 42:24, 43:2, 43:4, 43:6, 43:10, 43:20, 44:20, 44:22, 44:24, 45:3, 45:7, 45:20, 46:1, 46:3, 46:6, 46:13, 46:16, 47:5, 47:10, 47:13, 47:16, 47:23, 48:23, 48:25, 49:2, 49:19, 49:21, 49:24, 50:8, 50:13, 50:15, 50:22, 51:3, 51:6, 51:9, 51:11, 51:17, 51:23, 51:25, 52:9, 52:16, 52:24, 53:13, 54:14, 55:6, 55:16, 56:3, 56:7, 56:9, 56:14, 56:21, 56:25, 57:4, 57:10, 58:11, 58:17, 58:20, 59:11, 59:20, 59:23, 60:6, 60:13, 60:16, 60:18, 60:20, 60:23, 65:14, 66:9,

66:15, 67:2, 67:4, 67:15, 67:21, 67:24, 68:4, 69:2, 69:5, 69:9, 69:19, 70:16, 70:19, 70:21, 70:23, 73:25, 74:4, 74:6, 74:8, 75:20, 75:22, 75:23 MS [23] - 4:5, 4:17, 4:19, 4:22, 4:25, 5:21, 6:9, 6:12, 6:15, 10:1, 10:3, 21:19, 38:23, 58:23, 59:2, 59:6, 59:9, 59:16, 68:9, 68:11, 68:13, 74:2, 75:21 MTA [6] - 5:13, 8:2, 12:14, 47:9, 57:5, 74:5 MTA's [2] - 47:7, 56:24 much-ly[1] - 75:14 multiple [2] - 19:7, 47:2 Murphy [1] - 15:24 MYERS [1] - 1:16

N

N.E [2] - 2:5, 2:12 NAFTALIS [1] - 3:15 Nagel [4] - 4:20, 4:23, 38:23 **NAGEL** [1] - 1:18 name [2] - 5:18, 23:16 namely [1] - 29:22 nation [1] - 33:12 **NATURAL** [1] - 2:4 necessarily [3] -24:21, 32:2, 54:6 need [34] - 16:6, 20:8, 20:14, 21:1, 22:17, 23:11, 26:8, 29:18, 32:6, 39:9, 41:11, 44:10, 48:21, 49:7, 49:21, 50:2, 50:9, 53:7, 57:8, 57:19, 57:25, 58:4, 58:9, 58:19, 59:18, 62:5, 64:16, 64:25, 68:5, 70:12, 71:1, 71:21, 72:3 needed [5] - 13:1, 16:20, 22:24, 60:12, 62:22 needs [7] - 23:1, 35:22, 53:18, 56:24, 60:10, 63:14, 63:16 NEPA [18] - 22:13, 24:8, 24:11, 32:12, 34:4, 34:5, 35:14,

40:10, 43:10, 43:14, 43:21, 45:17, 50:17, 62:11, 62:17 NEPA-related [2] -24:8, 43:14 never [3] - 9:5, 33:12, 40:21 **NEW** [2] - 1:1, 1:3 new [1] - 6:7 New [43] - 1:10, 1:17, 1:20, 2:9, 2:17, 3:5, 3:6, 3:10, 3:12, 3:12, 3:13, 3:16, 3:19, 3:23, 4:10, 7:12, 11:11, 12:7, 14:4, 14:7, 14:10, 15:5, 15:23, 15:24, 43:12, 45:10, 48:7, 56:19, 57:2, 57:9, 61:14, 62:11, 63:20, 63:23, 66:6, 67:9, 72:11 Newark [7] - 1:10, 2:9, 3:10, 3:11, 67:6, 67:9, 67:11 next [13] - 11:3, 11:16, 12:21, 13:7, 17:17, 18:3, 18:19, 26:17, 34:13, 43:6, 45:9, 63:20, 74:23 nice [5] - 22:25, 32:3, 44:19, 59:12, 68:2 **night** [3] - 6:18, 67:6, 67:23 Nina [1] - 16:8 nitty [1] - 65:4 nitty-gritty [1] - 65:4 **NJ**[4] - 3:6, 3:8, 3:9, 3:11 NJ-Rockland [1] - 3:9 nobody [2] - 7:21, 7:23 none [2] - 37:4, 71:9 nonsense [1] - 73:23 North [1] - 3:8 note [1] - 71:23 noted [1] - 34:4 notes [2] - 11:19, 60:9 nothing [6] - 20:8, 25:21, 45:6, 70:21, 70:23, 74:14 notice [2] - 18:22, 30:13 notified [1] - 20:20 noting [1] - 50:6 number [21] - 11:4, 11:8, 11:25, 12:10, 12:13, 12:19, 13:7, 13:8, 13:9, 13:10, 13:24, 14:3, 14:9,

14:20, 14:21, 15:20, 26:3, 32:15, 32:17, 71:19

NUMBER [1] - 1:3 numbers [1] - 13:21

NY [1] - 6:17

NYC [1] - 13:12

0

o'clock [3] - 61:16, 61:21, 66:24 Oakwood [1] - 3:5 **objections** [1] - 71:9 obvious [2] - 12:7, 22:23 obviously [6] - 6:20, 11:14, 18:1, 19:6, 23:4, 69:25 occur[1] - 18:7 occurs [1] - 18:20 OF [4] - 1:1, 1:3, 1:6, 1:12 offer [1] - 56:10 office [3] - 25:18, 70:14, 72:19 Office [1] - 1:9 **OFFICE** [4] - 2:3, 2:7, 2:11, 3:21 officers [2] - 21:6, 73:3 Official [2] - 1:23, 76:12 officials [1] - 12:8 often [2] - 36:11, 52:17 once [1] - 17:17 One [1] - 3:22 one [39] - 7:20, 12:21, 14:13, 14:17, 15:2, 15:13, 17:3, 22:12, 31:13, 32:15, 35:16, 35:23, 36:22, 40:18, 41:9, 41:23, 42:13, 44:5, 45:1, 46:16, 47:4, 48:1, 50:6, 51:23, 57:24, 60:4, 60:25, 61:24, 63:12, 63:25, 64:24, 66:3, 68:13, 69:17, 70:25, 71:19, 73:19, 73:20, 75:25 one's [1] - 35:22 open [2] - 4:1, 52:12 opened [1] - 13:13 opinion [10] - 20:8, 20:9, 20:23, 20:25, 21:1, 33:25, 54:25, 74:18, 74:21, 74:22

opinions [2] - 27:19,

27:20 opportunity [10] -20:14, 20:25, 23:17, 25:17, 56:23, 62:5, 63:1, 69:4, 69:5, 75:2 opposed [1] - 44:15 oral [16] - 7:9, 20:7, 20:14, 20:17, 21:16, 23:4, 24:3, 24:10, 25:4, 30:4, 33:6, 60:21, 69:9, 71:4, 73:22, 76:2 order [12] - 9:8, 9:14, 19:9, 24:24, 25:8, 26:10, 26:22, 34:9, 34:11, 44:14, 52:14, 64:16 orderly [1] - 37:2 organize [1] - 33:6 organizing [1] - 30:4 ostensibly [1] - 28:14 otherwise [1] - 67:13 OTIS [11] - 3:15, 6:3, 6:5, 6:7, 6:10, 6:14, 6:23, 7:2, 10:20, 39:4, 39:8 Otis [5] - 6:3, 6:4, 6:16, 39:3, 39:7 ought [1] - 52:14 outcome [3] - 21:2, 23:10, 28:14 outline [2] - 31:18, 61:4 outlined [2] - 26:4, 68:7 outlines [2] - 11:25, 75:15 outside [1] - 42:13 overall [3] - 33:25, 62:15, 62:23 overlap [9] - 36:12, 36:20, 44:10, 51:18, 52:25, 53:5, 53:10, 53:23, 54:17 overlaps [4] - 40:15, 45:8, 55:8, 55:22 overnight [1] - 67:9 owner [1] - 14:5 owns [1] - 10:17

Р

ozone [1] - 11:13

P.C [2] - 2:15, 3:18 p.m [7] - 11:13, 12:15, 60:7, 71:12, 76:5 pace [1] - 13:5 package [1] - 14:22 page [3] - 12:11,

14:14, 14:19 Pages [1] - 1:6 pages [4] - 14:15, 15:14, 15:18, 49:9 PAGET [1] - 2:15 **PAL** [1] - 6:17 paper [2] - 30:23, 30:24 papers [3] - 9:15, 20:12, 41:11 parameters [1] - 57:19 Park [1] - 3:12 Parks [1] - 15:5 Parkway [1] - 1:19 part [10] - 7:7, 15:15, 24:14, 26:8, 37:7, 44:17, 60:20, 63:8, 63:12, 65:3 parte [1] - 16:3 participants [1] - 47:2 participate [3] - 36:10, 36:11, 47:9 participated [1] - 36:9 participating [1] -70:1 participation [10] -34:6, 43:12, 45:11, 56:19, 57:3, 57:5, 57:9, 62:12, 63:21, 63:23 particular [2] - 8:5, 46:9 particularly [1] - 14:15 particulars [1] - 23:5 parties [7] - 10:12, 11:21, 34:8, 37:6, 38:8, 57:1, 67:7 partner [1] - 72:24 parts [1] - 69:14 party [8] - 5:22, 6:13, 6:17, 6:19, 6:20, 6:25, 37:14, 75:3 Party [1] - 3:13 pass [1] - 16:14 Passengers [1] - 3:11 past [6] - 30:17, 30:18, 30:19, 30:20, 51:5 patent [1] - 6:25 path [3] - 30:24, 31:1, 31:7 pause [1] - 63:9 pay [1] - 67:11 peace [1] - 24:11 pencil [1] - 10:16 Pennsylvania [1] -65:18 People [1] - 3:9 people [2] - 10:16

percent [2] - 25:4, 73:13 perfectly [6] - 8:19, 26:11, 47:2, 47:11, 47:17, 59:4 perhaps [4] - 7:15, 17:19, 39:16, 52:22 **period** [10] - 7:7, 17:21, 18:7, 18:23, 20:16, 64:5, 64:13, 71:20, 74:18, 75:13 periods [3] - 64:7, 64:15, 64:21 permitted [1] - 23:15 person [3] - 4:9, 21:25, 72:16 perspective [1] -36:18 Perspective [1] - 3:6 Philadelphia [2] -65:19, 66:8 phone [4] - 22:1, 72:17, 72:19, 73:21 phrase [1] - 8:24 picture [2] - 62:22, 63:11 piece [1] - 68:2 **pigeonhole** [1] - 36:19 pile [3] - 27:5, 27:11, 27:12 Pinelands [1] - 3:8 pink [1] - 10:13 **Pipelines** [1] - 3:9 place [2] - 25:16, 63:18 places [1] - 47:12 plaintiff [13] - 4:13, 5:1, 9:20, 9:25, 21:16, 21:18, 24:11, 32:9, 35:12, 38:21, 48:7, 68:8, 74:1 Plaintiff [2] - 1:4, 1:17 plaintiff's [3] - 5:5, 57:13, 71:10 plaintiffs [2] - 27:25, 34:11 **Plaintiffs** [1] - 1:20 plaintiffs' [1] - 34:5 plan [8] - 32:20, 40:18, 41:6, 48:2, 49:3, 49:8, 54:25, 62:9 planning [1] - 65:21 play [4] - 8:2, 52:2, 61:1, 64:23 Plaza [1] - 3:22 pleasant [1] - 75:25 pleasure [1] - 58:25 plug [1] - 31:13

plus [7] - 7:12, 12:11,

30:23, 34:25, 41:25,

48:16, 48:18 podium [3] - 22:21, 40:3, 59:1 point [9] - 8:17, 18:8, 24:16, 28:6, 28:19, 28:20, 29:7, 30:13, 67:4 points [2] - 15:22, 51:21 Policy [1] - 3:6 politely [1] - 39:16 ponder [1] - 56:17 portable [1] - 70:5 portion [2] - 28:6, 46:8 position [3] - 20:11, 72:23, 74:17 possible [4] - 20:20, 50:6, 52:17, 74:17 possibly [1] - 8:18 post [3] - 70:8, 73:16, 74:10 Post [1] - 1:9 poster[1] - 69:13 posting [1] - 72:21 potential [1] - 12:25 potentially [5] - 12:25, 18:7, 50:22, 71:3 power [1] - 66:6 practice [8] - 21:5, 30:17, 30:18, 30:19, 30:20, 36:11, 51:5 predetermined [1] -23:10 predicate [1] - 55:4 predict [1] - 17:24 preference [2] - 24:8, 56:3 premature [1] - 41:5 prepare [2] - 25:23, 49:12 prepared [4] - 7:8, 16:11, 28:6, 37:6 **prescribed** [1] - 45:13 present [8] - 26:10, 31:14, 35:10, 44:4, 52:18, 69:10, 69:13, 69:18 presentation [12] -25:25, 35:11, 35:20, 36:2, 36:16, 48:11, 48:16, 49:12, 50:21, 51:16, 63:13, 63:15 presentations [2] -49:4, 64:22 presenting [1] - 36:18 Preservation [1] - 3:8 **preserve** [1] - 59:19 pressures [1] - 21:8 pretty [2] - 68:18, 70:24

people's [1] - 10:15

per [2] - 34:2, 41:25

read [3] - 27:5, 27:7,

56:2

27:19

primarily [1] - 49:4 principal [1] - 38:2 priorities [4] - 35:19, 35:25, 36:2, 36:17 prioritize [1] - 35:10 priority [6] - 25:25, 35:24, 36:2, 36:6, 65:15, 66:17 private [1] - 36:11 problem [4] - 11:6, 13:20, 53:3, 53:4 problems [1] - 73:12 procedural [6] -30:11, 42:11, 42:21, 50:21, 51:13, 52:2 proceed [12] - 7:9, 24:6, 25:8, 26:9, 34:3, 34:8, 34:11, 34:13, 42:6, 42:9, 45:18, 61:18 proceeding [1] - 15:17 PROCEEDINGS [1] -4:1 proceedings [1] -76:10 Proceedings [2] -1:24, 76:5 process [10] - 23:1, 31:20, 35:15, 36:8, 42:11, 43:9, 43:15, 51:13, 51:14, 55:24 produced [1] - 1:25 **productive** [1] - 38:15 professional [1] - 73:5 professionalism [2] -71:14, 74:13 Professionals [1] -Professor [1] - 39:5 program [1] - 12:16 progress [1] - 71:16 project [1] - 19:5 **prom** [1] - 6:25 prominence [1] - 36:6 promised [1] - 20:15 proper [1] - 35:15 proposal [1] - 67:19 propose [2] - 40:9, 40:10 proposed [5] - 12:15, 14:22, 15:22, 34:1, 61:15 proves [1] - 23:13 provide [3] - 35:2, 47:9, 69:24 public [8] - 13:22, 13:24, 14:1, 14:4, 14:7, 14:10, 15:6, 34:6 published [1] - 15:7

punch [2] - 45:2, 45:3 purposely [1] - 9:14 put [7] - 11:20, 16:4, 38:9, 66:17, 66:19, 68:2, 72:23 putting [2] - 35:17, 74:17

Qqualitative [1] - 27:13

quality [20] - 34:6, 43:22, 53:5, 53:10, 53:25, 54:10, 54:12, 54:15, 54:17, 54:20, 54:23, 55:2, 55:3, 55:14, 56:4, 62:20, 62:24, 63:2, 63:7, 63:11 quantitative [2] - 27:4, 27:14 quarters [1] - 25:4 questions [26] - 7:8, 11:4, 11:18, 13:14, 13:15, 16:7, 25:13, 30:5, 32:5, 35:19, 36:1, 36:17, 37:13, 42:1, 47:25, 48:10, 48:12, 48:16, 48:18, 49:5, 49:15, 50:24, 65:2, 68:6, 68:23, 69:16 quick [1] - 37:15 quickly [3] - 10:10, 36:25, 66:11 quietly [1] - 39:20 quite [1] - 16:5

R

Railroad [1] - 3:10

raise [1] - 45:1 raised [7] - 31:20, 36:23, 38:21, 43:11, 51:20, 68:25, 69:7 Randee [1] - 4:19 **RANDEE** [1] - 1:19 **RANDY** [1] - 1:15 range [1] - 58:16 rather [6] - 22:24, 34:2, 34:24, 38:15, 55:21, 72:1 rationale [1] - 23:8 re [1] - 15:22 reach [5] - 23:10, 29:8, 52:14, 56:5, 72:6 reaching [2] - 29:9, reaction [2] - 35:5,

reading [1] - 15:17 ready [3] - 33:21, 60:11, 72:2 real [1] - 65:23 realize [1] - 49:24 really [3] - 40:14, 67:21, 74:13 reason [5] - 20:10, 23:10, 44:9, 52:25, 71:21 reasonable [11] -20:16, 27:16, 30:21, 31:2, 31:10, 39:18, 54:22, 64:5, 67:17, 71:20, 74:18 reasonableness [8] -27:9, 27:14, 27:16, 31:9, 36:12, 36:21, 44:11, 51:18 reasonably [1] - 31:7 reasoned [2] - 42:22, 51:8 reasons [1] - 39:6 rebuttal [6] - 49:17, 57:6, 57:11, 57:20, 58:5, 65:1 received [1] - 15:6 recently [1] - 8:22 Recess [3] - 39:23, 60:7, 71:12 recess [2] - 7:18, 39:17 recognized [1] - 68:25 recollection [1] -61:11 reconvene [1] - 63:20 record [53] - 9:12, 9:17, 11:4, 11:8, 12:9, 12:11, 12:12, 12:13, 12:18, 12:19, 13:3, 13:7, 13:18, 13:21, 13:24, 14:3, 14:9, 14:13, 14:20, 14:24, 14:25, 15:15, 15:20, 16:5, 23:3, 23:13, 26:25, 28:6, 28:12, 29:1, 29:14, 29:16, 29:19, 29:24, 31:12, 37:14, 37:15, 42:24, 44:11, 45:14, 46:11, 46:18, 47:7,

reduced [1] - 16:14 reevaluation [4] -17:18, 17:20, 19:1, 19:8 reference [3] - 12:6, 12:24, 27:19 referred [1] - 63:12 refers [1] - 15:5 reflect [2] - 39:20, 61:9 reg [1] - 30:8 regard [2] - 51:21, 74:20 regarding [5] - 11:12, 12:14, 12:15, 15:24, 54:25 regards [1] - 60:13 Regional [1] - 13:11 regrets [3] - 6:16, 6:23, 7:2 regulation [3] - 29:5, 29:6, 29:15 Reichman [2] - 5:24, 39:11 **REICHMAN** [6] - 3:4, 3:4, 5:25, 10:21, 39:12, 74:8 reiterate [1] - 74:19 relate [5] - 22:12, 32:19, 44:10, 55:23, 63:2 related [4] - 24:8, 36:20, 43:14, 43:23 relates [3] - 53:4, 55:7, 63:10 relationship [2] -32:14, 55:18 relative [2] - 9:10, 22:1 relatively [2] - 29:2, 68:20 relaxed [1] - 33:22 relevant [6] - 14:16, 15:9, 15:10, 34:19, 36:24 relies [1] - 46:7 remainder [1] - 46:11 remains [4] - 16:19, 19:12, 19:24, 36:23 remand [3] - 35:16, 41:13, 41:17 remedy [6] - 37:5, 37:6, 54:19, 59:22, 59:23, 64:2 remember [3] - 5:1, 22:7. 22:8 repeat [1] - 73:22 reply [2] - 59:14, 65:1 report [5] - 7:16, 8:8, 47:20, 73:11

Reporter [2] - 1:23,

76:12 REPORTER'S [1] represent [1] - 47:20 representations [3] -21:6, 25:12, 28:11 representative [4] -13:15, 14:8, 14:11, 72:9 Representative [1] -14:2 represented [1] -46:25 represents [1] - 29:18 request [2] - 8:1, 62:18 requesting [1] - 38:24 required [2] - 19:5, 30:23 requirement [4] -24:17, 42:12, 44:14, 45:12 requirements [2] -16:4, 16:6 requires [2] - 19:6, 52:22 research [1] - 27:3 reserve [1] - 64:3 resolve [2] - 10:10, 11:17 RESOURCES [1] - 2:4 respect [6] - 10:24, 22:13, 54:19, 63:3, 71:15, 73:4 respectful [3] - 73:17, 73:18 respectfully [2] -37:11, 62:18 respective [3] - 11:12, 64:6, 68:20 respects [1] - 51:12 respond [15] - 8:11, 24:13, 26:12, 35:13, 36:16, 41:21, 43:8, 44:4, 50:3, 57:19, 58:8, 61:7, 70:14, 71:20 responding [2] -12:23, 35:21 responds [1] - 15:6 response [7] - 12:3, 12:4, 12:5, 37:16, 48:14, 59:7, 64:25 responses [3] - 15:8, 15:11, 15:16 rest [2] - 14:15, 16:18 resubmitted [1] - 9:18 result [2] - 18:20, 75:12

revealing [1] - 37:23

49:10, 50:25, 51:19,

55:11, 56:11, 65:5,

69:14, 69:22, 76:10

recorded [1] - 1:24

reduce [1] - 61:5

recording [1] - 72:12

review [2] - 12:16, 43:15 reviews [1] - 19:4 revised [3] - 9:18, 12:23, 13:2 revisions [1] - 9:10 **Revolution** [1] - 3:11 Rice [1] - 4:20 **RICE** [1] - 1:18 ridiculous [1] - 71:25 **RIESEL** [1] - 2:15 rights [2] - 21:3, 44:15 ripe [2] - 40:20, 41:20 ripeness [10] - 31:21, 31:24, 32:18, 34:16, 40:17, 41:1, 41:2, 41:14, 48:2, 61:23 rise [2] - 22:20, 35:6 Riverkeeper [1] - 3:9 road [4] - 28:14, 29:8, 35:22, 67:25 Rockland [1] - 3:9 roll [3] - 57:25, 64:9, 64:18 rooms [1] - 67:11 Roseland [1] - 1:20 ROSENBERG [1] -3:18 rotely [1] - 26:3 roughly [3] - 18:15, 19:9, 42:3 **RPR**[1] - 76:12 rubber [1] - 10:13 rule [1] - 72:11 rules [1] - 29:2 Rules [1] - 74:12 run [4] - 42:3, 61:20, 64:13, 68:18 running [1] - 18:23

S

safely [2] - 75:18, 76:3 SafestreetsJC [1] -3:9 sake [1] - 48:9 salad [1] - 68:3 satisfied [1] - 9:22 save [1] - 32:24 saw [1] - 66:16 scenarios [1] - 40:19 scheduled [1] - 71:7 schemes [1] - 32:15 School [1] - 65:18 school [3] - 58:12, 68:17, 75:4 Science [1] - 3:11 scintilla [1] - 27:6 screen [1] - 69:12

75:19 seeing [1] - 12:24 seem [1] - 23:9 segments [2] - 38:15, 38:16 send [9] - 6:23, 7:2, 11:20, 11:24, 30:2, 65:10, 68:14, 71:23, 75:14 sends [1] - 60:13 Senior [1] - 1:12 sense [5] - 32:13, 34:24, 38:19, 46:18, 54:4 sensitive [1] - 57:12 sensitivity [1] - 57:8 separate [13] - 32:15, 34:24, 36:13, 36:20, 44:13, 45:24, 46:7, 46:8, 46:18, 50:3, 53:21, 55:21 separated [1] - 45:23 separately [10] -35:23, 36:6, 43:13, 45:15, 46:11, 53:16, 55:4, 56:1, 56:5, 71:5 series [1] - 13:19 session [5] - 8:5, 57:24, 62:10, 62:14, 72:7 set [1] - 8:13 sets [4] - 49:25, 57:20, 58:3, 58:8 settlement [1] - 7:14 settlements [1] - 9:4 setup [1] - 63:5 seven [13] - 14:21, 22:8, 23:5, 23:12, 23:18, 35:14, 36:5, 40:18, 41:9, 49:18, 50:2, 57:7, 59:14 several [1] - 69:16 shall [4] - 24:22, 39:15, 57:8, 62:3

seat [2] - 5:4, 23:20

second [8] - 12:19,

29:3, 31:25, 43:8,

43:25, 58:1, 69:25,

secret [2] - 38:1, 38:13

Secretary [1] - 15:23

SECTION[1] - 2:4

section [1] - 44:2

see [15] - 4:13, 5:12,

5:18, 31:1, 33:23,

39:9, 39:18, 39:22,

40:23, 49:9, 59:24,

69:14, 72:4, 72:6,

seated [1] - 4:18

72.4

Shambon [1] - 11:7 **shape** [2] - 70:24, 72:6 share [2] - 66:10, 66:12 **shared** [1] - 66:15 **Shari** [1] - 5:9 SHARI [1] - 2:12 Sharia [2] - 4:8, 70:3 sheets [1] - 16:12 shift [1] - 38:18 short [2] - 36:24, 56:14 **shorter** [1] - 38:15 **show** [4] - 23:17, 70:2, 70:4, 70:15 **showed** [1] - 33:4 **showing** [2] - 6:21, 39:7 shown [1] - 10:25 **shows** [1] - 45:14 side [28] - 5:24, 7:20, 15:18, 15:19, 20:24, 24:2, 34:3, 39:16, 41:21, 41:25, 44:3, 45:15, 45:21, 46:21, 46:22, 46:24, 47:1, 47:3, 57:13, 57:14, 57:15, 57:21, 63:16, 64:24, 71:1, 71:3, 71:6, 71:10 side's [1] - 27:12 **SIDERMAN** [5] - 3:18, 6:8, 6:9, 6:12, 6:15 Siderman [3] - 6:5, 6:7, 60:8 sides [4] - 19:7, 26:18, 27:10, 68:20 signed [1] - 18:24 significance [1] - 54:7 significant [8] - 12:25, 27:13, 32:21, 33:13, 38:7, 54:11, 55:11, 64:13 SILAGI [1] - 2:8 Silagi [2] - 5:9, 18:5 **similar** [1] - 58:20 simple [7] - 28:12, 28:22, 29:2, 42:10, 54:9, 67:3, 71:25 simplest [1] - 71:22 simply [2] - 8:1, 55:9 simultaneous [1] -72:12 sit [5] - 11:2, 20:3, 25:2, 57:18, 57:24 sits [1] - 10:14 sitting [1] - 72:20 **situation** [1] - 30:20 SIVE [1] - 2:15 six [3] - 14:17, 35:14,

36:5 slides [1] - 69:14 slight [1] - 45:22 slow [1] - 11:19 small [2] - 64:8, 64:9 smiling [1] - 4:24 social [3] - 72:12, 73:17, 74:10 Soma [2] - 3:7, 3:11 someone [1] - 70:13 something's [1] -68:17 sometime [1] - 61:6 sometimes [3] - 26:4, 26:5, 69:10 somewhere [2] -19:20, 61:6 soon [1] - 20:20 sorry [4] - 6:4, 12:19, 13:8, 56:25 sorted [1] - 24:14 sound [1] - 25:20 South [1] - 3:13 **SPALDING** [1] - 1:15 speaks [1] - 41:15 **specific** [3] - 37:15, 51:25, 62:23 specifically [1] - 69:23 **specifics** [2] - 7:13, 61:10 specified [2] - 55:10, 55:13 speculating [1] -19:17 sped [1] - 20:22 speed [1] - 20:11 **spelled** [1] - 38:4 spelling [1] - 5:20 spend [3] - 16:16, 23:17, 35:18 spent [1] - 16:2 spill [1] - 19:14 spit [1] - 40:23 **spoken** [1] - 38:23 **sponsors** [8] - 11:10, 12:2, 12:22, 12:23, 18:20, 18:22, 19:10, 19:19 spot [1] - 12:15 spots [1] - 42:7 Square [1] - 1:9 staff [1] - 66:22 stake [1] - 63:22 stamina [1] - 26:15 stand [9] - 10:23, 11:2, 24:11, 25:9, 27:10, 38:20, 49:10, 49:14, 49:15 standard [7] - 23:7,

23:8, 27:4, 28:2, 30:22, 30:24, 31:7 standards [2] - 23:6, 27:8 start [17] - 9:20, 20:11, 22:6. 27:11. 40:13. 40:25, 61:11, 61:15, 66:20, 66:21, 67:5, 67:6, 67:16, 68:8, 68:18, 75:17 started [1] - 74:15 starting [2] - 61:19, 64:15 starts [1] - 67:24 **STATE** [1] - 1:3 State [5] - 3:12, 4:10, 8:2, 15:5, 48:7 state [2] - 8:2, 29:1 statement [2] - 9:3, 28:4 **STATES** [6] - 1:1, 1:6, 1:12, 2:3, 2:7, 2:11 States [2] - 4:2, 4:11 **status** [2] - 4:10, 7:15 **STATUS** [1] - 1:5 statute [5] - 29:5, 29:15, 29:22, 30:8 **statutory** [1] - 32:15 stay [2] - 64:11, 72:16 staying [2] - 67:5, 67:8 steak [1] - 68:2 stenography [1] - 1:24 step [5] - 17:3, 17:17, 18:3, 18:19, 43:8 steps [3] - 11:1, 20:25, 31:19 stick [1] - 10:14 still [5] - 17:13, 18:15, 19:20, 57:6, 57:11 stop [4] - 24:11, 30:9, 57:18, 72:22 stopping [2] - 72:10, 72:14 story [1] - 20:5 straight [1] - 38:16 strategy [3] - 24:3, 37:23, 71:4 straw [1] - 66:25 Street [3] - 2:5, 2:8, 3:7 street [1] - 2:12 stretched [1] - 42:8 strike [1] - 45:2 strokes [1] - 61:10 strong [1] - 33:25 **structure** [1] - 63:15 structured [1] - 51:14 studied [8] - 26:7, 40:19, 40:21, 41:10, 41:16, 48:3, 53:7

study [3] - 20:25, 41:11, 41:17 stuff [1] - 66:13 stupid [1] - 73:1 submission [1] -12:23 submit [1] - 70:11 substantial [9] -26:23, 26:25, 27:4, 27:7, 27:17, 28:2, 29:19, 29:24, 50:24 **subtracted** [1] - 16:20 suffer [1] - 62:8 sufficient [1] - 70:1 sufficiently [1] - 74:23 suggest [4] - 33:19, 33:24, 36:15, 39:16 suggested [2] - 39:21, 44:6 suggestion [4] -24:17, 32:12, 34:18, 53:15 suggestions [1] - 13:4 Suite [1] - 1:19 **summaries** [1] - 16:4 summarized [1] -15:14 summary [9] - 11:24, 13:11, 13:16, 15:25, 16:11, 31:21, 31:23, 33:5, 71:19 **supply** [1] - 70:7 support [2] - 26:24, 38:20 supported [1] - 50:25 supports [1] - 28:7 **supposed** [7] - 7:13, 16:17, 16:22, 16:24, 30:12, 30:16, 61:11 **Supreme** [1] - 27:5 surprised [1] - 21:4 surprises [3] - 21:10, 37:3 surrebuttal [5] -49:17, 50:11, 58:15, 59:15, 65:1 surrenderer [1] -72:17 surrogate [1] - 72:8 **surrogates** [1] - 73:3 survive [1] - 30:4 Sustainability [1] -3:11 **sweep** [1] - 34:25 switch [4] - 19:21, 20:9, 20:13, 20:24 sword [1] - 41:4 symbiotic [1] - 55:17

Т

table [3] - 32:7, 72:8, 72:21 tables [1] - 38:19 tag [1] - 58:13 tail [1] - 68:10 talks [1] - 27:6 target [4] - 17:11, 17:13, 17:14, 19:12 targeted [1] - 74:24 targeting [1] - 19:20 taught [3] - 24:18, 26:19, 52:6 **TBTA** [3] - 16:22, 17:7, 18:8 **Team** [1] - 3:10 team [3] - 26:7, 39:21, 58:13 **Teams** [1] - 11:16 tear [1] - 16:12 technology [2] - 70:2, 70:15 **television** [2] - 70:6, 70:10 ten [13] - 39:9, 39:15, 48:10, 49:18, 50:2, 58:9, 59:11, 59:13, 59:25, 60:3, 60:5, 62:2, 71:6 ten-minute [1] - 39:15 term [1] - 35:3 terms [14] - 17:24, 19:15, 20:9, 21:3, 25:25, 30:4, 31:17, 32:20, 36:18, 45:12, 46:20, 51:13, 54:9, 57:15 THE [223] - 1:1, 1:12, 4:4, 4:7, 4:9, 4:12, 4:16, 4:18, 4:21, 4:23, 5:1, 5:4, 5:8, 5:11, 5:16, 5:18, 5:22, 6:1, 6:4, 6:6, 6:8, 6:11, 6:13, 6:16, 6:24, 7:3, 8:7, 8:9, 8:12, 8:15, 8:19, 8:21, 9:22, 9:24, 10:2, 10:4, 10:6, 10:8, 10:13, 10:22, 11:22, 11:23, 12:4, 12:5, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 15:20, 16:10, 16:11, 17:3, 17:6, 17:8, 17:11, 17:13, 17:16, 18:1, 18:5, 18:12, 18:15, 18:19, 19:2, 19:6, 19:13, 19:18, 19:25, 20:4,

21:14, 21:18, 21:20,

21:22, 22:4, 22:11, 22:16, 22:21, 22:25, 23:19, 23:22, 26:1, 26:13, 26:17, 32:16, 32:22, 32:24, 33:1, 33:3, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17, 33:20, 33:23, 34:14, 34:21, 35:4, 37:9, 37:20, 37:25, 38:4, 38:7, 38:12, 38:17, 38:25, 39:3, 39:7, 39:11, 39:14, 39:24, 40:1, 40:4, 40:6, 40:12, 40:16, 40:23, 41:8, 41:22, 41:24, 42:3, 42:6, 42:9, 42:16, 42:23, 43:1, 43:3, 43:5, 43:7, 43:18, 44:19, 44:21, 44:23, 44:25, 45:5, 45:18, 45:25, 46:2, 46:5, 46:12, 46:14, 46:24, 47:6, 47:11, 47:15, 47:17, 47:24, 48:24, 49:1, 49:6, 49:20, 49:23, 50:1, 50:10, 50:14, 50:16, 50:23, 51:4, 51:7, 51:10, 51:15, 51:22, 51:24, 52:5, 52:10, 52:18, 53:8, 53:14, 54:19, 55:15, 56:2, 56:6, 56:8, 56:13, 56:16, 56:22, 57:2, 57:6, 57:17, 58:14, 58:18, 58:22, 58:25, 59:4, 59:7, 59:10, 59:12, 59:17, 59:22, 59:24, 60:8, 60:15, 60:17, 60:19, 60:22, 60:24, 65:23, 66:13, 66:20, 67:3, 67:8, 67:18, 67:22, 67:25, 68:6, 68:10, 68:12, 68:16, 69:3, 69:7, 69:16, 69:20, 70:5, 70:7, 70:9, 70:11, 70:18, 70:20, 70:22, 70:24, 71:13, 74:1, 74:3, 74:5, 74:7, 74:9, 75:24 theme [1] - 31:8 themselves [1] - 52:19 theoretically [1] -59:18 therefore [2] - 35:2, 46:18 they've [1] - 41:10 thinking [2] - 23:14, 31:17

third [4] - 30:11, 32:1, 45:1, 64:3 Third [1] - 3:19 thirds [1] - 64:2 thoughts [3] - 37:9, 37:10, 71:19 thread [3] - 14:20, 14:23, 15:21 three [12] - 14:17, 25:3, 25:16, 44:25, 49:13, 50:11, 55:17, 55:18, 56:1, 58:16, 64:18, 68:19 threshold [7] - 29:22, 30:5, 30:7, 40:14, 48:4, 50:20, 61:23 throwing [1] - 6:20 Thursday [2] - 1:10, 67:23 timely [1] - 74:21 timing [2] - 21:16, 75:5 today [8] - 10:24, 24:15, 26:10, 32:2, 44:25, 56:14, 59:20, 71:16 Together [1] - 3:10 together [8] - 44:10, 53:7, 55:7, 55:17, 55:19, 55:25, 74:15, tolling [1] - 12:16 tomorrow [2] - 6:18, 8:14 top [2] - 10:14 topic [2] - 34:13, 37:15 topically [1] - 34:8 topics [2] - 34:18, 56:12 tort [1] - 8:22 totality [1] - 36:13 toward [1] - 75:10 towards [1] - 18:9 town [1] - 61:14 track [1] - 7:16 TRADE [1] - 1:12 traditional [1] - 5:20 traffic [1] - 61:14 train [1] - 66:6 tranche [1] - 48:11 transcript [10] - 1:24, 13:17, 13:22, 13:24, 14:1, 14:3, 14:6, 14:14, 16:1, 76:9 transcription [1] -1:25

transcripts [1] - 13:19

Transportation [3] -

2:18, 4:11, 13:12

transportation [1] -11:12 **TRANSPORTATION** m - 1:7 Transportation's [1] -15:23 Trap [1] - 3:7 travel [4] - 62:2, 75:18, 76:3, 76:4 traveled [1] - 75:18 treatises [2] - 27:7, 27:20 tremendous [1] -26:15 tried [1] - 44:25 trigger [2] - 18:8, 64:24 triggers [1] - 18:22 trouble [1] - 23:15 truly [1] - 24:19 truncated [1] - 55:24 trust [8] - 25:11, 31:11, 31:15, 57:17, 60:22, 62:1, 72:21, 73:10 **try** [5] - 7:5, 11:17, 25:5, 44:19, 50:5 trying [10] - 11:1, 12:8, 31:13, 34:25, 45:24, 46:22, 53:16, 57:23, 66:24, 75:5 tunnel [1] - 67:12 turn [3] - 11:8, 21:16, 58:7 **Turnpike** [2] - 3:7, 66:7 turns [1] - 29:24 tweak [1] - 44:5 Twitter [1] - 72:21 two [43] - 7:9, 14:17, 15:2, 23:17, 23:23, 23:24, 25:14, 25:15, 32:10, 32:14, 32:17, 34:2, 34:25, 35:17, 38:16, 41:3, 46:9, 49:24, 50:3, 50:7, 54:1, 55:8, 57:20, 58:3, 58:8, 60:5, 61:25, 62:5, 63:8, 64:2, 64:7, 64:12, 64:16, 64:18, 65:7, 71:24, 73:20, 75:19 two-and-a-half [1] -38:16 two-by-two [1] - 71:24 two-hour-plus [1] -34:25 two-thirds [1] - 64:2

variety [1] - 35:9 writing [2] - 16:14, U verdicts [1] - 9:3 61:5 **U.S** [2] - 1:9, 12:14 verify [1] - 9:17 written [2] - 15:25, 31:5 uber [1] - 65:25 versus [1] - 27:13 UC[1] - 3:11 vibrate [1] - 72:5 Υ ultimately [1] - 68:12 video [1] - 22:1 unanticipated [1] view [1] - 29:20 York [12] - 1:17, 2:17, 64:25 violation [1] - 36:14 3:16, 3:19, 7:12, uncertain [1] - 32:6 15:5, 61:14, 67:9 uncomfortable [2] -W Younger [1] - 39:6 7:18, 23:23 yourself [1] - 27:22 waiting [1] - 76:1 under [6] - 30:22, walk [2] - 32:3, 74:9 32:15, 48:3, 52:14, walks [1] - 73:10 59:18, 73:7 wants [1] - 67:11 understood [4] -Ward [1] - 3:13 21:10, 33:8, 73:24, warmer [1] - 68:2 74:1 unexpected [1] -Warren [1] - 6:2 21:12 **Washington** [2] - 2:5, unfortunately [2] -2:13 18:11, 73:6 watch [2] - 11:2, 57:18 **Unitarian** [1] - 3:7 water [1] - 25:19 United [2] - 4:2, 4:11 Water [1] - 3:6 **UNITED** [6] - 1:1, 1:6, Waterfront [1] - 3:10 1:12, 2:3, 2:7, 2:11 wave [1] - 27:21 wax [1] - 28:17 Universalist [1] - 3:7 universally [1] - 9:2 week [1] - 11:17 **University** [1] - 65:17 weeks [2] - 7:9, 75:19 unknown [1] - 38:8 welcome [6] - 4:7, unless [3] - 10:25, 5:22, 6:13, 27:3, 38:7, 68:1 27:18 unnecessarily [1] well-needed [1] -20:18 60:12 unprecedented [1] -Westlaw [1] - 27:3 33:12 Wettre [5] - 7:13, 8:3, unreasonable [4] -8:10, 8:13, 9:6 27:16, 28:8, 28:10, **whiz** [1] - 31:8 31:10 **whole** [1] - 7:5 unreasonableness [1] willing [2] - 7:17, - 36:14 64:11 **up** [32] - 6:22, 10:10, win [1] - 40:20 10:17, 10:23, 11:23, window [2] - 18:16, 15:17, 20:11, 20:22, 19:9 23:17, 24:11, 25:7, wish [11] - 7:11, 18:6, 25:9, 25:10, 27:10, 20:17, 20:19, 32:8, 28:9, 31:11, 33:4, 63:3, 68:25, 71:1, 38:20, 39:2, 49:8, 71:2, 72:15, 73:22 49:14, 51:16, 52:3, wishes [2] - 8:16, 40:1 54:10, 57:25, 59:3, wonderful [2] - 60:19, 61:2, 61:22, 65:10, 70:17 66:23, 70:6, 72:2 wooden [1] - 10:14 upcoming [1] - 75:25 word [2] - 27:9, 54:7 urge [1] - 39:15 words [2] - 29:5, utmost [2] - 20:15, 71:11 75:6 works [2] - 26:4, 59:9 worry [1] - 26:16 V wrap [1] - 65:10 wrestler [1] - 58:12 value [2] - 24:19, write [2] - 30:23 24:22